(U 338-E)

Southern California Edison
Q2 2021 Quarterly Data Report

August 2, 2021

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Resolution WSD-011, Attachment 3, as modified by the February 16, 2021 Compliance Operational Protocols (Compliance Protocols), and the Office of Energy Infrastructure Safety's (OEIS or Energy Safety) Action Statement on SCE's 2021 Wildfire Mitigation Plan (WMP) Update, this Quarterly Data Report (QDR) includes Southern California Edison Company's (SCE) (1) geospatial database pursuant to the requirements in the February 4, 2021 Geographic Information System (GIS) Data Reporting Standard for California Electrical Corporations – V2 (GIS Data Schema) and the related Status Report, in Excel, that further denotes what spatial data SCE is providing at this time; (2) non-spatial data, in Excel, pursuant to the non-spatial Tables 1-12 template; and (3) a description of the data included in the geospatial database, and non-spatial Tables 1-12.

Our Q2 2021 QDR includes similar geospatial data as provided in previous quarterly submissions with the addition of its Long Span Initiative (SH-14) data and improved data quality. This quarterly deliverable focused on adding SH-14 data and improving SCE's ability to procure data more quickly and of higher data quality leveraging process improvement methodologies such as a Kaizen workshop. Through the workshops, we identified specific areas where new processes and controls could be implemented to reduce the potential for error between the data providers and the Extract, Transfer, and Load (ETL) process. SCE also implemented data quality metrics within its internal data submittal process which will allow continued learning and improvement with the data we submit. In addition to these short-term improvements, SCE made great strides towards selecting a technology system to further our Maturity Model scores in the areas of Data Governance (capabilities 33 and 34). This technology solution will allow for SCE to provide data in a much more reliable, consistent, and timely manner for the ongoing needs of the quarterly deliverable. With focus on improving the current process and the future process, SCE was not able to provide additional geospatial data in this quarterly submission; however, we expect continued improvement in subsequent QDR submittals. This QDR also includes the wildfire initiatives and identifiers of those included in the 2021 WMP Update. SCE appreciates Energy Safety's acknowledgment that utilities are at different stages of their data journey and that the GIS Data Schema is intended to be a phased approach including ongoing changes to the schema. SCE is committed to providing more data and details in subsequent QDR submissions to meet the updated GIS Data Schema requirements.[1] The confidential geodatabase is being submitted through Energy Safety's service. Pursuant to California Government Code Section 15475.6,[2] the declaration supporting the confidentiality of this data was provided with the Q4 2020 QDR. Further description of the geospatial data and responses to the ongoing Guidance-10 deficiency conditions can be found in Section II herein.

In addition, SCE includes the non-spatial data, in Excel and in pdf in Appendix B, pursuant to Resolution WSD-011, Attachment 2.3 within Tables 1-12. New data is being provided for recorded Q2 2021, where applicable. SCE also includes corrections to data errors that have been identified through further quality review of calculations and data. Annual forecasts are not changing except where data errors are being corrected. All new and corrected data are displayed in red font. SCE is also

[1] GIS Data Schema, p. 1.

[2] Any duly adopted rules or guidelines in effect and utilized by the Wildfire Safety Division at the time of transition to the office shall remain valid and in effect as to the office pending the adoption of new or amended guidelines by the office pursuant to this section.

including a pdf version of these tables in Appendix B of this QDR. Section III of this QDR includes a description of the data included in these tables. Subsequent QDRs not submitted concurrently with an annual WMP submission will continue to include the pdf version and description of the data for these tables. The spatial and non-spatial data in this QDR submission is still undergoing review. If there are material updates, SCE will provide them in subsequent QDR submittals or earlier, as applicable.

II. GEOSPATIAL DATA

Class B deficiency Guidance-10 included in Resolution WSD-002 requires SCE to submit geospatial data according to the current data taxonomy and schema and to provide details regarding (1) locations where grid hardening, vegetation management, and asset inspections were completed over the prior reporting period, clearly identifying each initiative and supported with GIS data; (2) the type of hardening, vegetation management and asset inspection work done, and the number of circuit miles covered, supported with GIS data; (3) the analysis that led it to target that specific area and hardening, vegetation management or asset inspection initiative; and (4) hardening, vegetation management, and asset inspection work scheduled for the following reporting period. The GIS Data Schema includes additional geospatial data requirements beyond the four items above. Below, SCE explains the geospatial data it is providing in this QDR.

This QDR provides recorded GIS data for the April through June 2021 period and projected GIS data for the July through September 2021 period, where available, pursuant to the latest GIS Data Schema.[3] As noted in the Introduction, SCE is unable to provide all requested data at this time. This QDR includes the wildfire initiatives included in SCE's 2021 WMP Update. SCE appreciates Energy Safety's acknowledgment of comments from the IOUs regarding the volume and scope of quarterly data reporting requirements and how Energy Safety plans to continue to work with stakeholders to ensure the GIS Data Schema requirements can be met.[4]

This QDR includes the geospatial Initiative,[5] Asset Point, Asset Line, PSPS Event, Risk Event, and Other Required Data datasets. SCE is not providing metadata in this submission given that we first must focus on obtaining as much data as possible pursuant to the requirements and Energy Safety has informed that further refinements to the GIS Data Schema will be issued. Additionally, some data elements within the datasets SCE is providing are not available due to either our inability to correlate data from multiple systems within the available times or because SCE does not currently capture the requested data. Also, due to nine outstanding ignition investigations, SCE is not able to include the full ignition dataset in this submittal and will update the ignition data in the next QDR should those investigations lead to reportable ignitions.

SCE appreciates that Energy Safety, through its comprehensive updated GIS Data Schema, intends to obtain and standardize significant amounts of wildfire-related data. SCE also understands Energy Safety's desire to understand our current systems and data availability. To this end, SCE also provides updated responses in the Status Report in the Excel file template that generally describe the status of the requested data fields, actions we plan to take if a particular data field is not being provided at this time, the timeline for completing those actions, and whether the data is confidential. SCE describes its approach to the updated Status Report template below. As noted

[3] *See* the February 4, 2021 GIS Data Reporting Standard for California Electrical Corporations – V2.

[4] Resolution WSD-011, p. 12.

[5] The Initiative dataset includes grid hardening, vegetation management (projects & inspections), and asset inspections initiatives where work was performed and/or projected to be performed in HFRA over the reporting periods and does not include the following: SH-2 (Undergrounding Overhead Conductor), because no work was or is anticipated to be performed for this initiative over the reporting period, and VM-5 (Quality Control) because the work was operationalized in 2020.

above, SCE has still not set up metadata and this should not be done until the GIS Data Schema is in a steady-state phase. Also, SCE appreciates Energy Safety removing the requirement for employee confidential data and replacing it with general employee information.

As SCE has discussed with Energy Safety, we continue to have reservations regarding the provision of confidential data. Release of the precise location, age, and other attributes of SCE's assets alongside the precise location of critical facilities may significantly increase safety risk to the public. For example, knowledge of underground line routes and electrical equipment serving a critical facility could facilitate an attack on that critical facility's power supply. Also, knowledge of the location of specific SCE assets in areas with historical high-fire weather could make them vulnerable to attack during the worst possible time. Further, the precise locations of SCE's high voltage transmission lines and substations alongside the above-mentioned confidential information, as well as the non-confidential information requested increases risk to the bulk power transmission system. The Commission has recognized the importance of safeguarding critical energy infrastructure information and although maps of varying detail of SCE's transmission system may be publicly available from other sources, SCE does not believe it is prudent to further propagate that information, in this level of detail, accompanying other information that, taken together, could prove to be useful to a bad actor. Notwithstanding these reasons, SCE has preliminarily designated confidentiality at the data field level even though it believes confidentiality should be applied at the feature class level for each provided dataset. For purposes of the non-confidential geodatabase that is available on SCE's website, only non-confidential feature classes were included because SCE is not able to efficiently extract just the confidential data fields in the geodatabase at this time given the millions of data fields.

SCE also notes that it does not capture several data elements that still require time for our teams and subject matter experts to assess with respect to the labor, operational, system and technical requirements and to ensure these new data requirements could advance wildfire risk reduction prior to changing work methods, processes, tools and systems. SCE has made some progress in this area but is still in process of assessing all of these data requirements SCE has taken steps to assess and estimate timelines as they pertain to Vegetation Management (VM) photo submission as part of the ongoing quarterly data submittal. SCE is taking these steps as part of implementing improved vegetation management systems and processes. SCE anticipates having the capability to take, store, and submit photos for its Hazard Tree Mitigation Program (HTMP) and Drought Relief Initiative (DRI) program by the Q1 2022 submission date. The VM Line Clearing (LC) program will be ready to submit photos by Q4 2022 and Pole Brushing photos are expected to be made available in later years. These timelines are based on prioritization of SCE's critical technology implementations. SCE provides a general response in the Status Report that discusses the assessments in further detail. While SCE understands that Energy Safety desires specific timelines to address all data gaps, we are not able to provide all assessments with this QDR submission. Future submissions will look to include specific information similar to the status of VM photos above.

Similar to its previous QDR, the requested spatial data is being provided in the geodatabase. Additionally, SCE is submitting an updated Status Report based on the datasets, described above. SCE notes that it continues to take a phased approach to improve the data being provided. SCE

looks forward to continued collaboration with Energy Safety, utilities, and other stakeholders to refine and improve the GIS Data Schema to further reduce wildfire risk. Responses to the specific Guidance-10 conditions are detailed below.

i. locations where grid hardening, vegetation management, and asset inspections were completed over the prior reporting period, clearly identifying each initiative and supported with GIS data

Please see the geodatabase that includes grid hardening, vegetation management and asset inspection initiative data completed in HFRA from April 1, 2021 through June 30, 2020. As noted above, SCE also provides in the geodatabase other feature class datasets in support of Energy Safety's direction to provide as much information as practicable and is readily available. The additional datasets include Asset Line, Asset Point, PSPS Event, Risk Event, and Other Required Data.

ii. the type of hardening, vegetation management and asset inspection work done, and the number of circuit miles covered, supported with GIS data

SCE is providing data associated with its system hardening, vegetation management, and asset inspection initiatives described in our 2021 WMP Update. The specific WMP initiatives are shown in the table in Appendix A. Most wildfire initiatives are not planned, managed or executed based on the number of circuit miles (or miles) and thus line geometry for these initiatives is not available. This is consistent with Resolution WSD-011, Attachments 2.1 and 2.3 that describe how the number of circuit miles unit of measurement is not applicable for certain types of work. The limited initiatives that do have line geometry, circuit miles or miles are available in the geodatabase. SCE notes that line geometry for covered conductor is available at the project scoping level, which has been replicated for each of the resulting work orders (which is the lower level at which dates are managed and the level of detail provided in this GIS submission) and shows that SCE completed approximately 264 circuit miles of covered conductor from April 1, 2021 through June 30, 2021. For circuit-based distribution and transmission inspections, the entire circuit geometry has been included.

iii. the analysis that led it to target that specific area and hardening, vegetation management or asset inspection initiative, and

SCE first provided its risk-based analyses for how it determines and targets deployment for its wildfire-related initiatives in its July 27, 2020 Remedial Compliance Plan (RCP) to Guidance-3 and provided updates in its 2021 WMP Update, Q1 2021 QDR, and its 2021 Revised WMP Update. Please see Section 7.3.2 of SCE's Revised 2021 WMP Update for current information regarding methods SCE employs to analyze and prioritize work for grid hardening, vegetation management and asset inspection initiatives. In Appendix A, SCE summarizes the analysis that led it to target the areas where its system hardening, vegetation management and asset inspection initiatives were completed from April 1 through June 30, 2021. Please also see Section 4.3 and Appendix 9.8 of SCE's Revised 2021 WMP Update that describes SCE's improvements to its risk modeling.

iv. hardening, vegetation management, and asset inspection work scheduled for the following reporting period, with the detail in (i) – (iii).

Please see the geodatabase that includes grid hardening, vegetation management and asset inspection initiatives planned in HFRA from July 1 through September 30, 2021 pursuant to the

latest GIS Data Schema. Similar to part (ii) above, limited initiatives have line geometry (i.e., circuit miles or miles). Initiatives with line geometry are available in the geodatabase. SCE notes that line geometry for covered conductor is available at the project scoping level, which shows approximately 385 circuit miles planned for July 1 through September 30, 2021. Also, line geometry for planned circuit-based distribution and transmission inspections includes the entire circuit geometry, not just partial geometry of the circuit. Please see the table in Appendix A and Sections 4.3 and 7.3.2 of SCE's Revised 2021 WMP Update with the detail for condition (iii).

III. NON-GEOSPATIAL DATA TABLES 1-12

Introduction:
SCE's approach to updating Tables 1-12 of the non-spatial data requirements for this QDR includes 1) updating tables that require quarterly updates and not updating tables that require annual data and 2) corrections to data errors that have been identified through discovery and further quality review of calculations and data.

Table 1: Recent Performance on Progress Metrics
Table 1 provides a six-year history (2015-2020), where applicable, of Progress Metrics as defined by the 2021 WMP Guidelines and Q1 and Q2 2021 recorded data. SCE discovered a calculation error for Q1 2021 rows 1.b.ii, 1.b.iii, and 1.b.iv. All historical data for Rows 2.a.i, 2.a.ii, 2.b.i, and 2.b.ii were also corrected due to a misapplied span calculation. Updates to previous findings are in red font. The comment section for each metric in the table provides details of the source and data that was used or explanations for why certain data changed or is not available.

Metric Type 1 asks for inspection counts for different inspection category types for transmission and distribution in circuit miles. SCE accounts for completed inspections by noting the counts of assets inspected instead of noting by circuit miles. In order to present completed inspections in the requested format, SCE uses a calculated average span length multiplied by the number of structures inspected. Additionally, rows were added to inspection types (1c, ii-iv) in order to provide additional detail of inspection data collected as part of SCE's detailed inspection program. The drivers and programmatic inspection changes can be seen in SCE's 2021 WMP Update in Section 7.3.4.9.1 for Distribution and Section 7.3.4.10.1 for Transmission.

Metric Type 2 asks for the number of spans inspected for vegetation compliance. SCE accounts for completed vegetation compliance inspections by circuit miles. In order to present completed vegetation compliance inspections in the requested format, SCE divides the recorded circuit miles inspected by the calculated average span length.

Metric Type 3, customer outreach metrics, requires information not accounted for or maintained by SCE as SCE has no jurisdiction over evacuation orders. SCE diligently requested and followed up with local governments and law enforcement and was only able to obtain information from one county. Even then, the information provided included high-level estimations of evacuation counts estimated by the local government and law enforcement entity for a very limited set of fires. Because of this, SCE is unable to obtain the requested data, analyze it, and report on evacuation related requirements in this table. SCE anticipates this to be a recurring challenge going forward.

See Table 1 "Recent performance on progress metrics" for more detail.

Table 2: Recent Performance on Outcome Metrics
Table 2 provides a six-year history as well as Q1 and Q2 2021 recorded data, where applicable, of Outcome Metrics as defined by the 2021 WMP Guidelines. Rows 2.d, 2.d.ii, and 4.a were corrected due to an inadvertent summation error for Q1 2021. Updates to previous findings are in red font. Comments are included in the table to provide additional details about the data provided or indicate if the data was corrected or is not available or not applicable for the past six years or Q1 and Q2 2021. The information provided in conjunction with the "utility-ignited" wildfire statistics should not be construed as an admission of any wrongdoing or liability by SCE. SCE further notes that to the extent the damages metrics were obtained from other agencies, SCE does not guarantee the accuracy of such information. Additionally, in many instances, the cause of wildfires is still under investigation and even where an Authority Having Jurisdiction (AHJ) has issued a report on the cause, SCE may dispute the conclusions of such a report.

See Table 2 "Recent performance on outcome metrics" for more detail.

Table 3: List and Description of Additional Metrics
Metrics and underlying data are critical components for WMP development, execution, and evaluation, but we continue to emphasize that the near-term focus should be on efficient implementation of our planned activities, while the assessment of whether the activities are having the desired and expected impact on risk reduction should be measured over a longer time horizon. A clear distinction is necessary between metrics that can help monitor compliance with approved WMPs and those that can help evaluate effectiveness of these approved plans and inform future WMP updates.

As in 2019 and 2020, we provide annual Program Targets for each WMP activity which establish goals to evaluate compliance. As stated in previous filings and submittals, tracking Program Targets for approved WMPs is the best means of determining progress and assessing WMP compliance in the near term.

In its response to Guidance-5, SCE proposed five outcome-based metrics, to gauge the effectiveness of the portfolio of its wildfire mitigation activities. These outcome-based metrics are:

1. CPUC reportable ignitions in HFRA (total and by key drivers including CFO, wire-to-wire contact, tree-caused circuit interruptions, and EFF)
2. Faults in HFRA (total and by the key drivers mentioned above)
3. Wire-down incidents in HFRA
4. Number of impacted customers and average duration of PSPS events
5. Timeliness and accuracy of PSPS notifications

SCE proposed these outcome-based metrics because WMP activities are ultimately designed to reduce wildfire ignitions associated with its electrical infrastructure and reduce the impact of PSPS de-energization events to customers. Faults and wire-down events are also key metrics as they are leading indicators of potential ignitions. Importantly, these metrics are within the reasonable control of utilities when appropriately normalized for weather and other exogenous factors. Other metrics such as safety incidents, acres burned or structures destroyed, though important to understand and drive California's fire mitigation efforts, are impacted by events and circumstances largely outside of the utility's control such as climate change, fire suppression efforts and fire response. Therefore, these are not appropriate WMP effectiveness metrics.

Most of SCE's proposed WMP activities are selected to improve these metrics over time, while the remainder are enabling activities to support and supplement those WMP activities. Table SCE-1, updated since the 2021 WMP Update submission, demonstrates how each of SCE's 2021 WMP activities map to the five outcome-based metrics.

Table SCE-1
Activity to Metric Mapping

Activity	Initiative	Ignitions	Faults	Wire Downs	PSPS # Impacted & Average Duration	PSPS Notification Timeliness & Accuracy	Enabling
SA-1	Weather Stations				X	X	
SA-2	Fire Potential Index (FPI)				X	X	
SA-3	Weather and Fuels Modeling System				X	X	
SA-4	Fire Spread Modeling				X	X	
SA-5	Fuel Sampling Program				X	X	
SA-7	Remote Sensing / Satellite Fuel Moisture				X	X	
SA-8	Fire Science Enhancements				X	X	
SA-9	Distribution Fault Anticipation (DFA)	X	X	X			
SH-1	Covered Conductor	X	X	X	X		
SH-2	Undergrounding Overhead Conductor	X	X	X	X		
SH-4	Branch Line Protection Strategy	X		X			
SH-5	Installation of System Automation Equipment – RAR/RCS				X	X	
SH-6	Circuit Breaker Relay Hardware for Fast Curve	X		X			
SH-7	Circuit Evaluation for PSPS-Driven Grid Hardening Work				X		
SH-8	Transmission	X					

Activity	Initiative	Ignitions	Faults	Wire Downs	PSPS # Impacted & Average Duration	PSPS Notification Timeliness & Accuracy	Enabling
	Open Phase Detection						
SH-10	Tree Attachment Remediation	X	X	X			
SH-11	Legacy Facilities	X	X	X			
SH-12	Microgrid Assessment				X		
SH-13	C-Hooks	X	X	X			
SH-14	Long Span Initiative (LSI)	X	X	X			
SH-15	Vertical Switches	X	X				
IN-1.1	Distribution Ground / Aerial Inspections and remediations	X	X	X			
IN-1.2	Transmission Ground / Aerial Inspections and remediations	X	X	X			
IN-3	Infrared Inspection of energized overhead distribution facilities and equipment	X	X	X			
IN-4	Infrared Inspection, Corona Scanning, and High Definition imagery of energized overhead Transmission facilities and equipment	X	X	X			
IN-5	Generation Inspections and Remediations	X	X	X			
IN-8	Inspection Work Management Tools						X
VM-1	Hazard Tree Management Program	X	X	X			
VM-2	Expanded Pole Brushing	X	X	X			

Activity	Initiative	Ignitions	Faults	Wire Downs	PSPS # Impacted & Average Duration	PSPS Notification Timeliness & Accuracy	Enabling
VM-3	Expanded Clearances for Legacy Facilities	X	X	X			
VM-4	Dead and Dying Tree Removal	X	X	X			
VM-6	VM Work Management Tool (Arbora)						X
PSPS-2	Customer Care Programs (Includes CRCs, CCVs, Battery Backup Programs, Well Water and Water Pumping Backup Generation, Resiliency Zones)						X
DG-1	Wildfire Safety Data Mart and Data Management (WISDM / Ezy)						X
DEP-2	SCE Emergency Responder Training						X
DEP-1.2	Customer Education and Engagement - Community Meetings						X
DEP-1.3	Customer Education and Engagement - Marketing Campaign						X
DEP-4	Customer Research and Education						X
DEP-5	Aerial Suppression						X

Table 3 provides the performance metrics and units SCE uses to evaluate performance within each of these outcome-based metrics, including historical performance over the past six years (2015-2020) as well as Q1 and Q2 2021 recorded data.

As described in SCE's response to Guidance-5, there might be annual variances in these metrics driven by uncontrollable factors such as weather, and effectiveness of WMP activities can be best assessed

using longer-term trends in these outcome-based metrics. It will also be important to consider factors such as overall risk exposure, the population size of the assets, scope of work completed, and fire suppression by third party agencies when using these outcome-based metrics. These metrics cannot be used to measure progress or compliance per approved plans in the short term. To appropriately evaluate the effectiveness of its WMP activities, SCE is developing suitable quantitative and repeatable methods to measure and normalize these outcome-based metrics. We look forward to collaborating with Energy Safety, utilities, and other stakeholders to agree on how these metrics should be appropriately measured and used to draw pertinent conclusions.

CPUC Reportable Ignitions in HFRA, Faults in HFRA, and Wire Downs incidents in HFRA
Large variations in weather events, including temperature, rainfall, fuel moisture and wind, can heavily impact outcome-based metrics including faults, wire-down events and ignitions, and can often skew direct comparisons of these metrics year over year.

SCE is monitoring the number of faults at the circuit level and ignitions and wire-down events at the structure level and by key driver (CFO, EFF, and other) both before and after the deployment of select WMP wildfire activities. By observing the key drivers of these events down to the circuit or individual structure level, SCE is building the capability to better evaluate the effectiveness of wildfire activities that were deployed to mitigate those specific drivers, as well as help align future deployment of mitigations to target specific drivers identified at those locations.

SCE continues to focus on maturing its modeling capabilities to provide forecasts of future ignitions across HFRA, incorporating the benefits of wildfire activities to reduce ignitions as well as normalizing exogenous factors such as weather, to provide an expected range of ignitions in future years across HFRA. In its 2021 WMP Update, SCE incorporated the estimated benefits of wildfire activities, including covered conductor, vegetation mitigation, inspection mitigation, in reducing the POI at each individual pole or structure level, and includes this reduction of ignition risk when forecasting expected ignitions. At this time, SCE does not incorporate weather normalization into its WMP ignition forecasts due to the complexity of determining the causal relationship between aberrant weather and ignition probability and fire spread.

SCE is currently evaluating different approaches to normalize exogenous factors, including but not limited to, weather and 3rd party suppression efforts. As SCE continues to focus on prudent and effective grid operations, inspections & maintenance, improvements to standards and timely equipment upgrades, it is recognized that although these actions will not entirely eliminate risk, they are expected, in aggregate, to result in overall improvements in outcome metrics, such as faults, wire-downs and ignition events associated with SCE's electrical infrastructure.

Number of impacted customers during and average duration of PSPS events
As more sectionalization equipment, covered conductor, and other grid hardening activities are deployed, de-energization thresholds can be raised, reducing the number of circuits and circuit segments that will need to be de-energized during extreme weather conditions. Improved weather and fire modeling capabilities along with enhanced operational protocols can also help reduce the frequency and duration of PSPS events. However, to assess the effectiveness of the WMP activities in reducing the frequency and scope of PSPS de-energizations, the total number of customers affected or the duration of outages during any period need to be normalized for the intensity of weather events, how widespread the weather events were, and the duration of the events as these can influence the

number of circuits or circuit segments that have to be de-energized. In addition to weather, these metrics have to account for customer density on impacted circuits and other factors outside SCE's control. SCE is currently evaluating how metrics such as windspeed, FPI, etc., can be used to appropriately normalize the number of impacted customers and duration of PSPS events. The historical performance through Q2 2021 can be found in Table 3.

Timeliness and accuracy of PSPS notifications
SCE provides information on the timeliness and accuracy of PSPS notifications in post-event reports. SCE is re-evaluating the calculation of these metrics and benchmarking with the other IOUs to understand best practices. SCE welcomes Energy Safety's guidance as well.

Table 4: Fatalities Due to Utility Wildfire Mitigation Initiatives
Table 4 provides a six-year history (2015-2020) as well as Q1 and Q2 2021 data, where applicable, of fatalities associated with utility wildfire mitigation initiatives as defined by the 2021 WMP Guidelines.

See Table 4 "Fatalities due to utility wildfire mitigation initiatives" for more detail.

Table 5: OSHA-Reportable Injuries Due to Utility Wildfire Mitigation Initiatives
Table 5 provides a six-year history (2015-2020) as well as Q1 and Q2 2021 recorded data, where applicable, of OSHA-reportable injuries associated with utility wildfire mitigation initiatives as defined by the Guidelines. SCE does not use OSHA-reportable contractor and public incidents, as there is no direct employment relationship and no requirement to report to OSHA. However, SCE does monitor CPUC-reportable incidents, which have similar thresholds for identification and reporting (i.e., fatality or personal injury rising to the level of in-patient hospitalization, and in connection with utility assets). To provide a more complete data set, SCE provides data in Table 5 related to the "Contractor" and "Member of the Public" rows that correspond to CPUC-reportable incidents.

See Table 5 "OSHA-reportable injuries due to utility wildfire mitigation initiatives" for more detail.

Table 6: Weather Patterns
Table 6 provides a six-year history (2015-2020) as well as Q1 and Q2 2021 recorded data, where applicable, of weather patterns as defined by the Guidelines. The comment section for each metric in the table provides details of the source and data that was used or explanations for why certain data is not available.

The first row in Table 6 is populated with historical data on Red Flag Warning (RFW) by circuit mile days per year. The RFW circuit-mile days are based on all overhead distribution and transmission circuits that traverse through the National Weather Service (NWS) Fire Weather Zone (FWZ) from a 2015-2020 historical database of RFW events from the NWS. The overhead lengths of distribution and transmission circuits are calculated within each FWZ polygon (area divided geospatially into over approximately 1,000 space areas). All circuit lengths within that FWZ polygon are then multiplied by the number of days (or fraction of days) that a particular polygon had an RFW in effect.

The 2021 WMP Guidelines require that SCE use RFW circuit mile days per year data to normalize data required in other tables. SCE recommends the Commission consider using the National Fire Danger Rating System (NFDRS), which all fire agencies use to determine daily fire danger risk,

instead of RFW data. NFDRS is a system that allows fire managers to estimate today's or tomorrow's fire danger for a given area. It combines existing and expected states of selected fire danger factors into one or more qualitative or numeric indices that reflect an area's protection needs. Fire danger ratings are typically reflective of the general conditions over an extended area, often tens of thousands of acres, where a possible wildfire could start. Fire danger ratings describe conditions that reflect the potential, over a large area, for a fire to ignite, spread and require suppression action.

See Table 6 "Weather patterns" for more detail.

Table 7.1: Key Recent and Projected Drivers of Risk Events
Table 7.1 provides a six-year history (2015-2020) as well as Q1 and Q2 2021 recorded data, where applicable, as well as projections through 2022 of key recent and projected drivers of risk events as defined by the 2021 WMP Guidelines. Data corrections were made to Q1 2021 Distribution Ignitions - Other Contact from Object (33. e.) and Conductor Damage or Failure (34.b.).

The comment section for each metric in the table provides details of the source and data that was used or corrected or explanations for why certain data is not available.

To calculate the recent drivers of risk events, SCE utilized the following data sources:

- SCE's Outage Management System (OMS) and Outage Data and Reliability Metrics (ODRM) interface
- Wire-down data to determine if the conductor failure led to a wire-down event
- Repair work records from SCE's asset data in systems, applications & products (SAP) to identify failures
- CPUC reportable fire data

For purposes of this QDR, transmission lines refer to all lines at or above 65 kV, and distribution lines refer to all lines below 65 kV. Transmission faults and wire-downs are typically on transmission lines 65 kV and above but may include some lower voltages (from an operational perspective, SCE also treats its 55 kV lines as transmission).

To populate wire-down data for each driver, SCE used its wire-down database containing repair orders and OMS. To populate outage data for each driver, SCE used ODRM outage cause codes. ODRM database records and catalogs outage impacts and causes, determined by the cooperation of field, operations, and engineering employees.

To populate the number of ignitions per year for each driver, SCE used CPUC reportable data filed for 2015 through 2019, and preliminary data for 2020 and Q1 and Q2 2021. The CPUC reportable data contains date and time, latitude and longitude, voltage, location, suspected initiating event, and driver and sub-driver (e.g., animal contact, balloon contact, and transformer failure) categories. SCE mapped the suspected initiating event to the driver and sub-driver categories for 2015 through Q2 2021.

For forecasts, SCE first created a baseline forecast for wire-down, outages, and ignitions based on timeseries forecasting. Time-series forecasting uses historical patterns to create a forecast and can capture variation over smaller periods compared to other forecasting methods. Then, the baseline forecast was subjected to the same methodologies used for RSEs, whereby SCE estimated the

mitigation effectiveness of programs by risk drivers and determined the risk reduction, given the exposure and scope of the program, to incorporate the effects of SCE's various wildfire programs into the forecasts.

Rows were added to the table for specific areas to provide more information in the given areas rather than the information being limited to the "Other" category.

See Table 7.1 "Key recent and projected drivers of risk events" for more detail.

Table 7.2: Key Recent and Projected Drivers of Ignition Probability by HFTD Status

- Table 7.2 provides a six-year history (2015-2020), as well as projections through 2022 of key recent and projected drivers of ignitions by HFTD region as defined by the 2021 WMP Guidelines.

The comment section for each metric in the table provides details of the source and data that was used or explanations for why certain data was corrected or is not available.

For purposes of this QDR, transmission lines refer to all lines at or above 65 kV, and distribution lines refer to all lines below 65 kV. Transmission faults and wire-downs are typically on transmission lines 65 kV and above but may include some lower voltages (from an operational perspective, SCE also treats its 55 kV lines as transmission).

To populate the ignitions per year for each driver, SCE used CPUC reportable data filed for 2015 through 2019, and preliminary data for 2020. The CPUC reportable data contains date and time, latitude and longitude, voltage, location, suspected initiating event, and driver and sub-driver (e.g., animal contact, balloon contact, and transformer failure) categories. SCE mapped the suspected initiating event to the driver and sub-driver categories for 2015 through 2020.

For forecasts, SCE first created a baseline forecast for ignitions based on time-series forecasting. Timeseries forecasting uses historic patterns to create a forecast and can capture variation over smaller periods compared to other forecasting methods. Then the baseline forecast was subjected to the same methodologies used for RSEs, whereby SCE estimated the mitigation effectiveness of programs by risk drivers and determined the risk reduction given the exposure and scope of the program to incorporate the effects of SCE's various wildfire programs into the forecasts.

See Table 7.2 "Key recent and projected drivers of ignitions by HFTD region" for more detail.

Table 8: State of Service Territory and Utility Equipment

Table 8 provides a six-year history (2015-2020), where applicable, of state of service area and utility equipment as defined by the 2021 WMP Guidelines.

The comment section for each metric in the table provides details of the source and data that was used or explanations for why certain data was corrected or is not available.

Table 8 lists the current baseline state of SCE's service area in terms of overhead circuit miles for distribution and transmission lines, substations (only in-service, not including third-party owned), and critical facilities. The table also lists the number of customers in WUI zones and by HFRA tier/zone.

HFTD Zone 1 cells only reflect portions of SCE's HFRA that are outside of HFTD Tier 2 and Tier 3 areas. Zone 1 areas that are wholly contained within Tier 2 and Tier 3 areas are reflected in those respective tiers. The WUI area delineation is based on a GIS layer published by the University of Wisconsin-Madison.

It is important to note, that GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing.

SCE does not record all customers that are designated as AFN customers. As such, data provided for the AFN population only includes SCE customers enrolled in MBL and/or Low-Income (i.e., enrolled in the CARE/FERA) programs.

See Table 8 "State of service area and utility equipment" for more detail.

Table 9: Location of Actual and Planned Utility Equipment Additions or Removal Year Over Year

Table 9 provides a six-year history (2015-2020), where applicable, as well as projections through 2022 of location of actual and planned utility equipment additions or removal, year over year, as defined by the 2021 WMP Guidelines. The comment section for each metric in the table provides details of the source and data that was used or explanations for why certain data is not available.

Table 9 provides planned additions, removals, and upgrades of utility equipment by the end of the three-year plan term. SCE does not routinely follow planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, the projects are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates associated with them. Therefore, SCE is unable to map the distribution projects in GIS and subdivide as requested. The planned work with a well-developed scope and geospatial properties are typically major, longer lifecycle transmission and substation projects that have detailed engineering and/or a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) or Permit To Construct (PTC) from the Commission. Therefore, the only planned work that SCE included here are (1) transmission projects that have known, planned geospatial geometries (circuit path/route) that can be uploaded to GIS tools and then divided by population density, WUI, and HFTD Tier/Zone and (2) known, planned substation projects (of which SCE has one in the next three years, Safari Substation). Additionally, SCE plans to install at least 375 weather stations and will strive for approximately 475 additional weather stations between 2021 and 2022, but actual site/structure locations have not yet been determined and SCE is therefore unable to provide the locational attributes as requested.

The WUI area delineation is based on a GIS layer published by the University of Wisconsin-Madison.

See Table 9 "Location of actual and planned utility equipment additions or removal year over year" for more detail.

Table 10: Location of Actual and Planned Utility Infrastructure Upgrades Year over Year

Table 10 provides a six-year history (2015-2020), where applicable, as well as projections through

2022 of location of actual and planned utility infrastructure upgrades year over year as defined by the 2021 WMP Guidelines. The comment section for each metric in the table provides details of the source and data that was used or explanations for why certain data is not available.

Table 10 provides planned additions, removals, and upgrades of utility equipment by the end of the three-year plan term. For the reasons explained in the Table 9 section above, the only planned work included in Table 10 are transmission and substation projects that have known, planned geospatial geometries.

The WUI area delineation is based on a GIS layer published by the University of Wisconsin-Madison.

See Table 10 "Location of actual and planned utility infrastructure upgrades year over year" for more detail.

Table 11: Recent use of PSPS and other PSPS Metrics
Table 11 provides a six-year history (2015-2020) as well as Q1 and Q2 2021 recorded data, where applicable, as well as a projection through 2021 of recent use of PSPS and other PSPS metrics as defined by the 2021 WMP Guidelines. As of Q2 2021, SCE is currently unable to provide planned outage data metrics due to recent IT system implementation issues. SCE is actively investigating this issue and will provide the data when it is available. This affects rows 2a., 2c., 2d., 2e., and 2f. The comment section for each metric in the table provides details of the source and data that was used or explanations for why certain data was corrected or is not available.

Table 11 represents the frequency, scope, and duration of PSPS events in total. A combination of data from SCE's OMS and data recorded by documentation specialists during actual PSPS events was used for the historical information including Q1 and Q2 2021. For Q3-Q4 2021 time periods, SCE used 2020 recorded data adjusted for improvement expected based on SCE's planned wildfire mitigation activities to create a baseline. To factor in weather variability, which has significant impacts on PSPS events, SCE developed a range around the baseline. The range was based on an 18-year backcast analysis that analyzed how current PSPS triggers would have resulted in PSPS events when applied to historical weather data. The following equation was used to calculate the factor used for the low and high range for PSPS forecast data.

$$Lower\ limit\ factor = \frac{1st\ Quartile\ for\ days\ of\ interuption\ from\ the\ 18\ year\ backcast}{Average\ days\ of\ interuption\ from\ the\ 18\ year\ backcast}$$

$$Higher\ limit\ factor = \frac{3rd\ Quartile\ for\ days\ of\ interuption\ from\ the\ 18\ year\ backcast}{Average\ days\ of\ interuption\ from\ the\ 18\ year\ backcast}$$

Please see Table 11 for updates to SCE's use of PSPS protocols and other related metrics.

Table 12: Mitigation Initiative Financials
Table 12 provides 2020 recorded costs and 2021 through 2022 forecasts by initiative.

IV. APPENDIX A

Appendix A
Analysis That Led SCE To Target Specific
Areas For Initiatives in Q1 2021

#	Initiative ID	Initiative / Activity	Analysis that Led to Target Specific Area	Cite to 2021 WMP Update
1	IN-1.1	Distribution Ground / Aerial Inspections and remediations	Beginning in inspection year 2020, SCE embarked on an effort to reimage it's asset inspection programs, moving from a strictly compliance-based program to one that prioritizes the inspection of the highest risk assets throughout the service area consistent with regulatory compliance obligations. Specifically, in the Overhead Detailed Inspection (ODI) space, SCE implemented a risk characterization and prioritization schema so that the highest risk assets in SCE's High-Fire Risk Areas (HFRA) would be inspected earlier in the inspection cycle and on a more frequent basis. The primary objective of this program being to identify and mitigate any potential system issues prior to peak fire season. The risk model SCE deployed to prioritize asset inspections was based on the probability of asset failure and the potential consequence of destruction if that particular asset failure were to occur. The 2021 scope is based on the Technosylva model Utilizing this risk model, the HFRA inspection scope was identified and prioritized for operational execution. The structures that were identified as the highest risk were individually identified, plotted, and scheduled for inspection. As opposed to inspecting entire grids as was the practice under the normal compliance-driven program, individual structures were prioritized for inspection based on their risk characteristics, thus allowing the company to inspect the highest risk assets throughout the entire service territory before peak fire season. The objective of this inspection methodology was to reduce the overall system risk in the most vulnerable areas by clustering the highest risk poles together in individual Work Orders for our Electrical System Inspectors (ESIs) to perform detailed inspections. Also included in the work scope is compliance-due structures in HFRA. Additionally, prior to the typical start of the 2021 fire season, SCE has identified Areas of Concern (AOCs) in its HFRA, primarily driven by elevated dry fuel levels that pose increased fuel-driven and wind-driven fire risk. This threat is magnified during periods of high wind, high temperatures and low humidity. In order to mitigate emergent risk, SCE is accelerating inspections, remediation and vegetation trimming (and potentially identifying new inspections) in the identified AOCs. The methodology to identify AOCs is based on several factors including fire history, weather conditions, fuel type, exposure to wind, egress, etc. The methodologies described above were used to target the recorded and projected areas provided in the geodatabase.	Section 7.3.4.9.1
2	IN-1.2	Transmission Ground / Aerial Inspections and remediations	The Transmission High Fire Risk Informed Inspection program utilizes the same approach as the Distribution High Fire Risk Informed Inspection program (IN-1.1) for prioritizing work. The 2021 scope is based on the Technosylva model. Also included in the work scope is compliance-due structures in HFRA. Additionally, prior to the typical start of the 2021 fire season, SCE has identified Areas of Concern (AOCs) in its HFRA, primarily driven by elevated dry fuel levels that pose increased fuel-driven and wind-driven fire risk. This threat is magnified during periods of high wind, high temperatures and low humidity. In order to mitigate emergent risk, SCE is accelerating inspections, remediation and vegetation trimming (and potentially identifying new inspections) in the identified AOCs. The methodology to identify AOCs is based on several factors including fire history, weather conditions, fuel type, exposure to wind, egress, etc. The methodologies described above were used to target the recorded and projected areas provided in the geodatabase.	Section 7.3.4.10.1
3	IN-3	Infrared Inspection of energized overhead Distribution facilities and equipment	The Distribution Infrared Scanning (DIRS) program targets inspecting / scanning 50% of aggregate HFRA each calendar year and 100% of overhead structures in HFRA every two calendar years. The 2021 infrared inspection scope was based on Tier 2 and Tier 3 HFRA and begins a new two-year cycle with the goal to inspect 50% of the overhead circuits. The prioritization scheme for 2021 DIRS scope was designed to ensure high-risk structures are inspected first based on the Technosylva model. The recorded and projected areas included in the geodatabase are based on the methodology described above.	Section 7.3.4.4
4	IN-4	Infrared Inspection, Corona Scanning, and High Definition imagery of energized overhead Transmission facilities and equipment	For 2021 scope, SCE used the Technosylva consequence scores and the POI scores to select the highest risk transmission circuit miles in and adjacent to its HFRA. The final projected scope and prioritization may be adjusted based on operating constraints including but not limited to circuit loading and ambient temperature. The recorded and projected areas included in the geodatabase are based on this risk-ranking sequenced by the highest risk circuits and operational constraints such as weather, e.g., because high ambient temperature can make it difficult to detect temperature differentials, inspections are scheduled and performed during cooler days of the year.	Section 7.3.4.5
5	IN-5	Generation Inspections and Remediations	In 2020, SCE adopted a two-year cycle (2020-2021) where 50% of the assets targeted for inspections in 2020 were higher priority facilities in Tier 3 HFRA. Operational efficiencies and constraints are factored into the scheduling and execution of the work 2021 scope is based on the remaining targeted assets in Tier 2 and Tier 3. Additionally, prior to the typical start of the 2021 fire season, SCE has identified Areas of Concern (AOCs) in its HFRA, primarily driven by elevated dry fuel levels that pose increased fuel-driven and wind-driven fire risk. This threat is magnified during periods of high wind, high temperatures and low humidity. In order to mitigate emergent risk, SCE is accelerating inspections, remediation and vegetation trimming (and potentially identifying new inspections) in the identified AOCs. The methodology to identify AOCs is based on several factors including fire history, weather conditions, fuel type, exposure to wind, egress, etc. The methodologies described above were used to target the recorded and projected areas provided in the geodatabase.	Section 7.3.4.9.2

#	Initiative ID	Initiative / Activity	Analysis that Led to Target Specific Area	Cite to 2021 WMP Update
6	VM-1	Hazard Tree Management Program	SCE determines the trees to mitigate based on a two-step process, first selecting higher risk locations and then selecting higher risk trees within these locations. SCE prioritized higher risk locations based on HFRA tier, Tree Caused Circuit Outages (TCCI), and density of vegetation surrounding SCE's facilities, combined with REAX consequence scores. SCE also takes into account operational constraints such as permitting, access and weather conditions in scheduling and executing work. Hazard Trees may also be mitigated as a result of the AOCs described above. These methodologies were used for the recorded and projected areas included in the geodatabase.	Section 7.3.5.16.1
7	VM-2	Expanded Pole Brushing	The recorded and projected areas included in the geodatabase are based on a geographical grid approach and prioritizing poles subject to PRC 4292 taking into account operational efficiencies and constraints.	Section 7.3.5.5.1
8	VM-3	Expanded Clearances for Legacy Facilities	2021 scope considers the HFRA tier level, voltage levels and existing vegetation buffer was utilized to risk rank the locations. The approach combined desktop review and field visits. Tier 3 locations, facilities with higher voltage levels and areas with less existing vegetation buffer were considered higher risk. SCE also takes into account operational constraints such as permitting, access and weather conditions in scheduling and executing work. Expanded clearances may also be mitigated as a result of the AOCs described above. The methodologies described above were used for the recorded and projected areas included in the geodatabase.	Section 7.3.5.5.2
9	VM-4	Dead and Dying Tree Removal	Dead and Dying Tree Removal and associated mitigations cover SCE's full HFRA each year. SCE schedules and executes this work based on operational and resource efficiency and constraints. SCE does prioritize and mitigate hazards posed by dead trees or those that are identified as significantly compromised upon brief visual inspection taking into account constraints such as permitting, access and weather conditions. This methodology was used for the recorded and projected areas included in the geodatabase.	Section 7.3.5.16.2
10	SH-1	Covered Conductor	Beginning in 2019, SCE used the risk scores from the WRM to scope and prioritize the circuit segments for replacing bare conductor with covered conductor. The underlying Potential of Ignition (POI) and consequence score models have undergone several refinements and SCE continues to incorporate these enhanced risk scores into its deployment strategy to the extent practicable. In late 2020, SCE transitioned from using the Reax ignition consequence model to Technosylva and although this refined risk modeling primarily affects 2020 covered conductor scope and beyond it has resulted in some reprioritization of the 2021 circuit-segments. Additionally, the PSPS Action Plan may further reprioritize covered conductor scope over the projected period. In scheduling and executing covered conductor, SCE also considers other factors such as permit requirements, environmental constraints, outages and crew efficiencies. This methodology was used for the recorded and projected areas included in the geodatabase.	Section 7.3.3.3.1
11	SH-6	Circuit Breaker Relay Hardware for Fast Curve	The program identified electrical circuits in HFRA that had old mechanical relays or could reduce risk through relay upgrades and/or fast curve settings. While scoping the projects via job walks and desk top reviews, the locations were evaluated for scope complexity and grouped accordingly. To facilitate successful execution and provide the greatest opportunity for the fastest and most impactful risk reduction, the group of projects with multiple relays and least complexity was released first and largely completed in previous years. 2021-2020 scope focuses on relays that require extensive engineering or that have operational considerations. Prioritization is based on construction and scheduling feasibility rather than region. This methodology was used for the recorded and projected areas included in the geodatabase.	Section 7.3.3.2
12	SH-8	Transmission Open Phase Detection	The Transmission Open Phase Detection (TOPD) effort targets Transmission lines in HFRA. To minimize the complexity, we targeted lines with two terminals and single conductor (wire) per phase. The Transmission lines selected were within a geographical area to avoid impacting multiple locations across SCE's service territory. Pilot locations also needed to have existing Protection devices (Relays) with the ability to harness open phase detection settings/logic files as developed. Finally, engineering judgement and knowledge of existing relay schemes was used to identify the locations for 2021. This methodology was used for the recorded and projected areas included in the geodatabase.	Section 7.3.3.17.1
13	SH-10	Tree Attachment Remediation	The recorded and projected areas included in the geodatabase were prioritized based on Reax risk scores, conductor type, and tree mortality.	Section 7.3.3.3.2
14	SH-11	Legacy Facilities	The recorded and projected areas included in the geodatabase are based on Reax consequence scores of the closest available overhead structure along with the legacy asset's age, last major overhaul date, and operating voltage. Other factors (e.g., unique asset characteristics, HFRA Tier, years since last assessment).	Section 7.3.3.17.2
15	SH-13	C-Hooks Insulator Attachment Hardware Replacements	The recorded and projected areas included in the geodatabase are based on cumulative risk scores at the circuit level, driven by structure POI scores and fire consequence scores from Technosylva.	Section 7.3.3.15.1
16	SH-14	Long Span Initiative Remediation	SCE used risk-ranking from the WRRM to prioritize long span mitigations in all HFRA tiers based on the type of span issue and risk score. The highest risk locations are prioritized by using the probability of the issue leading to an ignition and the fire consequence score (e.g., Reax/Technosylva).	Section 7.3.3.12.1
17	SH-15	Vertical Switches	SCE the following factors in prioritizing replacement of vertical distribution switches: 1) an appropriate switch design form factor is available for the specific location, 2) equipment condition based on prior inspection findings, 3) the location's Technosylva risk score, and 4) the geographical proximity with other switch replacements.	Section 7.3.3.17.3

V. APPENDIX B NON-SPATIAL DATA (TABLES 1-12)

Wildfire Safety Division Attachment 2.3

Wildfire Mitigation Plan Quarterly report - non-spatial data template

Resolution WSD-011 Attachment 2.3

Instructions for use	
1.	Fill out the tan cells (color represented here) starting with the cell below (D17: Utility). The Utility name will populate the Table tabs to follow. Date modified will vary by table.
2.	Cells will only accept valid entries. For most cells, this is positive numbers
3.	For each Table tab, after a modification is made, denote the date of the change in cell C4 for each Table tab.
4.	Some columns have an additional header in row 5 to serve as clarification for several columns. With the exception of projected data, row 5 will be highlighted in blue (color represented here)
5.	Some required metrics are future projections. For these, row 5, above the projections will be highlighted light green (color represented here)
	In future submissions, report updated projected numbers if / when projections have changed, and report actuals once the quarter / year has passed.
6.	For data required annually rather than quarterly (see Tables 7.3 - 10), report for entire year even if part of the year is projected. Once year has passed, update cell with actuals
7.	Some tables will have additional instructions provided in a **Notes** box located in cells D2 - D4 Notes will explain terms, signal where projections are required, and provide other useful information.
8.	For the initial quarterly submission, utilities are required to submit data on annual metrics for 2015 - 2020, which should represent the most updated data from the 2020 WMP for years 2015-2019
*	Do not add or manipulate the template for any of the tabs

Update the below table to establish which year, quarter of the WMP cycle this submission this represents.

Utility	Southern California Edison Company
First year of 3-year WMP cycle	2020
Submission year	2021
Submission quarter	Q2
Date Modified	8/2/2021

Utility	Southern California Edison Company
Table No.	1
Date Modified	8/2/2021

Notes:
Transmission lines refer to all lines at or above 65kV, and distribution lines refer to all lines below 65kV.

Table 1: Recent performance on progress metrics

Note: These columns are placeholders for future QR submissions.

Metric type	#	Progress metric name	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Q1 2020	Q2 2020	Q3 2020	Q4 2020	Q1 2021	Q2 2021	Q3 2021	Q4 2021	Q1 2022	Q2 2022	Q3 2022	Q4 2022	Unit(s)	Comments
1. Grid condition findings from inspection - Distribution lines in HFTD	1.a.	Number of circuit miles inspected from patrol inspections in HFTD - Distribution lines	9,729	9,734	9,738	9,751	9,814	1,587	6,954	1,250	233	3,783	5,489							# circuit miles	SCE tracks completed inspections by tracking the counts of assets inspected instead of tracking by circuit miles. In order to present completed inspections in the requested format, SCE used a calculated average span length multiplied by the number of structures inspected.
	1.b.	Number of circuit miles inspected from detailed inspections in HFTD - Distribution lines (Total)	1,986	2,425	2,049	2,550	15,215	3,100	4,769	4,749	3,832	3,852	5,461							# circuit miles	This row is the sum of the four detailed inspection programs below it
		Overhead Detailed Inspections	1,986	2,425	2,049	1,618	1,906	518	1,352	48	4	653	291								From 2015-2019, the number represents the completed detailed inspections completed in circuit miles. Starting in 2020, the numbers represent completed compliance-due detailed inspections by circuit miles. SCE tracks completed inspections by tracking the counts of assets inspected instead of tracking by circuit miles. In order to present completed inspections in the requested format, SCE used a calculated average span length multiplied by the number of structures inspected.
		Enhanced Overhead Inspections	NA	NA	NA	932	9,448	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA								SCE tracks completed inspections by tracking the counts of assets inspected instead of tracking by circuit miles. In order to present completed inspections in the requested format, SCE used a calculated average span length multiplied by the number of structures inspected.
		High Fire Risk Informed Inspections	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	154	990	2274	1401	2,984	1,823								SCE tracks completed inspections by tracking the counts of assets inspected instead of tracking by circuit miles. In order to present completed inspections in the requested format, SCE used a calculated average span length multiplied by the number of structures inspected.
		Aerial Inspections	NA	NA	NA	NA	3,861	2,427	2,427	2,427	2,427	215	3,347								SCE tracks completed inspections by tracking the counts of assets inspected instead of tracking by circuit miles. In order to present completed inspections in the requested format, SCE used a calculated average span length multiplied by the number of structures inspected. Additionally, for 2020, SCE tracked the completed asset inspected by the year and in order to represent the 2020 completed asset inspection in circuit mile by quarter, SCE evenly distributed the completed inspections to each of the four quarters in 2020.
	1.c.	Number of circuit miles inspected from other inspections (list types of "other" inspections in comments) in HFTD - Distribution lines (total)	NA	NA	NA	12,605	5,663	1,382	1,382	1,382	1382.478	2,548	2,183							# circuit miles	This row is the sum of the two programs below that are considered as "other"
		Infrared Scan	NA	NA	NA	11,775	4,962	1,112	1,112	1,112	1,112	2,465	1,945								For 2020, SCE tracks the completed asset inspected by year and in order to represent the 2020 completed asset inspection by quarter, SCE evenly distributed the completed inspections to each of the four quarters in 2020.
		Intrusive Pole Inspections	NA	NA	NA	830	701	271	271	271	271	83	238								SCE tracks completed inspections by tracking the counts of assets inspected instead of tracking by circuit miles. In order to present completed inspections in the requested format, SCE used a calculated average span length multiplied by the number of structures inspected. Additionally, for 2020, SCE tracked the completed asset inspected by year and in order to represent the 2020 completed asset inspection by quarter, SCE evenly distributed the completed inspections to each of the four quarters in 2020.
	1.d.	Level 1 findings in HFTD for patrol inspections - Distribution lines	0	0	3	1	17	0	18	0	1	5	4							# findings	
	1.e.	Level 1 findings in HFTD for detailed inspections - Distribution lines	2,163	3,146	3,114	2,834	4,144	797	716	706	739	778	632							# findings	
	1.f.	Level 1 findings in HFTD for other inspections (list types of "other" inspections in comments) - Distribution lines	246	773	325	167	617	91	115	306	261	90	43							# findings	
	1.g.	Level 2 findings in HFTD for patrol inspections - Distribution lines	6,392	5,124	3,781	3,730	6,498	1,028	1,513	1,227	1,054	1,509	977							# findings	
	1.h.	Level 2 findings in HFTD for detailed inspections - Distribution lines	7,297	7,751	5,841	16,646	71,791	9,890	9,045	5,647	3,807	9,174	13,665							# findings	
	1.i.	Level 2 findings in HFTD for other inspections (list types of "other" inspections in comments) - Distribution lines	4,448	4,167	3,934	3,348	5,304	1,463	1,737	534	1,924	1,166	636							# findings	
	1.j.	Level 3 findings in HFTD for patrol inspections - Distribution lines	43	10	33	51	228	117	6	0	2	26	13							# findings	
	1.k.	Level 3 findings in HFTD for detailed inspections - Distribution lines	14,301	18,081	12,647	13,725	108,873	8,982	9,381	9,536	824	13,987	8,690							# findings	
	1.l.	Level 3 findings in HFTD for other inspections (list types of "other" inspections in comments) - Distribution lines	256	142	206	214	1,563	1,267	1,136	138	298	471	223							# findings	
1. Grid condition findings from inspection - Distribution lines total	1.a.ii.	Number of total circuit miles inspected from patrol inspections - Distribution lines	39,125	39,139	39,129	39,193	39,464	1,011	23,406	10,641	2,691	5,336	10,004							# circuit miles	SCE tracks completed inspections by tracking the counts of assets inspected instead of tracking by circuit miles. In order to present completed inspections in the requested format, SCE used a calculated average span length multiplied by the number of structures inspected.
	1.b.ii.	Number of total circuit miles inspected from detailed inspections - Distribution lines (Total)	8,347	8,200	8,007	8,813	21,245	3,378	5,605	6,442	6,935	4,243	6,599							# circuit miles	This row is the sum of the four detailed inspection programs below it. A correction as made to Q1 value as it incorrectly summed rows 28-31.
		Overhead Detailed Inspections	8,347	8,200	8,007	7,881	7,936	796	2,188	1,740	3,107	839	1,297								From 2015-2019, the number represents the completed detailed inspections completed in circuit miles. Starting in 2020, the numbers represent completed compliance-due detailed inspections by circuit miles. SCE tracks completed inspections by tracking the counts of assets inspected instead of tracking by circuit miles. In order to present completed inspections in the requested format, SCE used a calculated average span length multiplied by the number of structures inspected.
		Enhanced Overhead Inspections	NA	NA	NA	932	9,448	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA								SCE tracks completed inspections by tracking the counts of assets inspected instead of tracking by circuit miles. In order to present completed inspections in the requested format, SCE used a calculated average span length multiplied by the number of structures inspected.
		High fire Risk Informed Inspections	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	154	990	2274	1401	3,188	1,954								SCE tracks completed inspections by tracking the counts of assets inspected instead of tracking by circuit miles. In order to present completed inspections in the requested format, SCE used a calculated average span length multiplied by the number of structures inspected.
		Aerial Inspections	NA	NA	NA	NA	3,861	2,427	2,427	2,427	2,427	215	3,347								SCE tracks completed inspections by tracking the counts of assets inspected instead of tracking by circuit miles. In order to present completed inspections in the requested format, SCE used a calculated average span length multiplied by the number of structures inspected. Additionally, for 2020, SCE tracked the completed asset inspected by the year and in order to represent the 2020 completed asset inspection in circuit mile by quarter, SCE evenly distributed the completed inspections to each of the four quarters in 2020.
	1.c.ii.	Number of total circuit miles inspected from other inspections (list types of "other" inspections in comments) - Distribution lines	4,320	4,509	4,093	29,902	8,887	2,106	2,106	2,106	2,106	3,458	2,986							# circuit miles	This row is the sum of the two programs below that are considered as "other"
		Infrared Scan	NA	NA	NA	26,055	4,962	1,112	1,112	1,112	1,112	2,465	1,945								For 2020, SCE tracks the completed asset inspected by the year and in order to represent the 2020 completed asset inspection by quarter, SCE just evenly distributed the completed inspections to each of the four quarters in 2020.
		Intrusive Pole Inspections	4,320	4,509	4,093	3,847	3,925	995	995	995	995	993	1,041								SCE tracks completed inspections by tracking the counts of assets inspected instead of tracking by circuit miles. In order to present completed inspections in the requested format, SCE used a calculated average span length multiplied by the number of structures inspected. Additionally, for 2020, SCE tracked the completed asset inspected by the year and in order to represent the 2020 completed asset inspection by quarter, SCE just evenly distributed the completed inspections to each of the four quarters in 2020.
	1.d.ii.	Level 1 findings for patrol inspections - Distribution lines	5	2	4	10	28	0	76	3	19	19	13							# findings	
	1.e.ii.	Level 1 findings for detailed inspections - Distribution lines	17,812	19,726	21,832	19,482	21,320	4,300	4,923	6,308	5,039	4,918	4,578							# findings	
	1.f.ii.	Level 1 findings for other inspections (list types of "other" inspections in comments) - Distribution lines	1,742	2,636	1,762	1,506	2,680	557	596	682	576	370	301							# findings	
	1.g.ii.	Level 2 findings for patrol inspections - Distribution lines	26,406	17,649	15,545	30,305	83,237	8,457	4,779	4,808	3,665	4,551	4,358							# findings	
	1.h.ii.	Level 2 findings for detailed inspections - Distribution lines	51,016	48,323	41,641	39,640	40,771	8,510	13,463	13,300	15,593	13,769	25,954							# findings	
	1.i.ii.	Level 2 findings for other inspections (list types of "other" inspections in comments) - Distribution lines	14,687	13,466	12,071	12,873	26,158	6,250	6,497	4,403	6,114	3,411	2,066							# findings	
	1.j.ii.	Level 3 findings for patrol inspections - Distribution lines	328	64	128	7,790	35,237	142	12	4	17	26	17							# findings	
	1.k.ii.	Level 3 findings for detailed inspections - Distribution lines	84,111	76,240	63,267	62,133	62,271	11,811	16,961	18,740	19,548	17,760	17,749							# findings	
	1.l.ii.	Level 3 findings for other inspections (list types of "other" inspections in comments) - Distribution lines	1,149	753	1,013	2,851	92,092	2,428	2,514	1,240	1,510	664	268							# findings	
1. Grid condition findings from inspection - Transmission lines in HFTD	1.a.iii.	Number of circuit miles inspected from patrol inspections in HFTD - Transmission lines	4,438	4,438	4,438	4,438	4,438	1,109	1,109	1,109	1,109	434	890							# circuit miles	SCE tracks completed inspections by tracking the counts of assets inspected instead of tracking by circuit miles. In order to present completed inspections in the requested format, SCE used a calculated average span length multiplied by the number of structures inspected.
	1.b.iii.	Number of circuit miles inspected from detailed inspections in HFTD - Transmission lines	NA	NA	NA	1,479	6,629	2,327	2,327	2,327	2,327	1,434	3,249							# circuit miles	This row is the sum of the three detailed inspection programs below it. An updated historical number for detailed inspections occurred requiring a new summation of the programs below.
		Detailed Inspections	NA	NA	NA	1,479	1,479	370	370	370	370	311	300								For 2015-2017, patrol inspections doubled as detailed inspections being completed on every transmission asset in the service territory. Beginning in 2018 the recorded inspection numbers estimate the detail inspections in circuit miles being completed. Additionally, the detailed inspection program completes inspections of 1/3 of all SCE transmission assets per year. The completed inspections are tracked by "Grids". SCE's complete transmission line network is broken out into large areas called "Grids" and all execution and tracking are recorded at the grid level. The number being represented uses 1/3rd of the current transmission circuit mile counts in HFTD for each year. 2020 in particular, evenly distributes the 1/3rd of the current transmission mile circuit counts into each quarter. An error was found in the calculation methodology for the historical years, therefore the outlined methodology was properly applied and the historical numbers were updated.
		High Fire Inspections	NA	NA	NA	NA	520	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	577	1,439								SCE tracks completed inspections by tracking the counts of assets inspected instead of tracking by circuit miles. In order to present completed inspections in the requested format, SCE used a calculated average span length multiplied by the number of structures inspected. A correction was made to the Q1 value as it incorrectly included some Q2 inspections.
		Aerial Inspections	NA	NA	NA	NA	4,630	868	868	868	868	546	1,509								SCE tracks completed inspections by tracking the counts of assets inspected instead of tracking by circuit miles. In order to present completed inspections in the requested format, SCE used a calculated average span length multiplied by the number of structures inspected. Additionally, for 2020, SCE tracked the completed asset inspected by the year and in order to represent the 2020 completed asset inspection by quarter, just evenly distributed the completed inspections to each of the four quarters in 2020.
	1.c.iii	Number of total circuit miles inspected from other inspections (list types of "other" inspections in comments) - Transmission lines	NA	NA	NA	103	5,003	284	284	284	284	43	121								This row is the sum of the two programs below that are considered as "other"
		IR Corona	NA	NA	NA	NA	4,901	251	251	251	251	0	73								For 2020, SCE tracked the completed inspections by the year. In order to represent the 2020 completed inspection by quarter, SCE evenly distributed the completed inspections to each of the four quarters evenly in 2020.

		Intrusive Pole Inspections	NA	NA	NA	103	102	32	32	32	32	43	49			SCE tracks completed inspections by tracking the counts of assets inspected instead of tracking by circuit miles. In order to present completed inspections in the requested format, SCE used a calculated average span length multiplied by the number of structures inspected. Additionally, for 2020, SCE tracked the completed asset inspected by the year and in order to represent the 2020 completed asset inspection by quarter, SCE just evenly distributed the completed inspections to each of the four quarters in 2020.
	1.d.iii.	Level 1 findings in HFTD for patrol inspections - Transmission lines	50	82	40	32	108	12	23	54	63	11	18	# findings		
	1.e.iii.	Level 1 findings in HFTD for detailed inspections - Transmission lines	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	18	41	# findings		
	1.f.iii.	Level 1 findings in HFTD for other inspections (list types of "other" inspections in comments) - Transmission lines	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	# findings		
	1.g.iii.	Level 2 findings in HFTD for patrol inspections - Transmission lines	697	855	977	1,215	15,029	1,245	2,522	549	138	319	685	# findings		
	1.h.iii.	Level 2 findings in HFTD for detailed inspections - Transmission lines	3	1	2	1	14	609	4,400	1,783	961	537	1,252	# findings		
	1.i.iii.	Level 2 findings in HFTD for other inspections (list types of "other" inspections in comments) - Transmission lines	278	128	408	419	456	15	46	45	85	24	33	# findings		
	1.j.iii.	Level 3 findings in HFTD for patrol inspections - Transmission lines	935	735	719	382	2,545	130	437	166	48	166	259	# findings		
	1.k.iii.	Level 3 findings in HFTD for detailed inspections - Transmission lines	0	2	0	4	3	44	309	366	186	207	508	# findings		
	1.l.iii.	Level 3 findings in HFTD for other inspections (list types of "other" inspections in comments) - Distribution lines	0	0	0	0	103	3	1	0	3	0	1	# findings		
1. Grid condition findings from inspection - Transmission lines total	1.a.iv.	Number of total circuit miles inspected from patrol inspections - Transmission lines	13,068	13,068	13,068	13,068	13,068	3,267	3,267	3,267	3,267	1,713	1,980	# circuit miles		For 2015-2017, patrol inspections doubled as detailed inspections being completed on every transmission asset in the service territory. Beginning in 2018, the recorded inspection numbers estimate the patrol type inspections in circuit miles being completed. Additionally, SCE tracks completed inspections by "Grids". SCE's complete transmission line network is broken out into large areas called "Grids" and all execution and tracking are recorded at the grid level. The number being represented uses the current transmission circuit mile counts in HFTD for each year. 2020 in particular, evenly distributes the current transmission mile circuit counts into each quarter.
	1.b.iv.	Number of total circuit miles inspected from detailed inspections - Transmission lines	NA	NA	NA	4,210	6,389	2,697	3,189	3,230	2,984	1,946	3,905	# circuit miles		This row is the sum of the three detailed inspection programs below it. An updated historical number for detailed inspections occurred requiring a new summation of the programs below.
		Detailed Inspections	NA	NA	NA	4,210	4,760	697	1,188	1,229	983	823	956			For 2015-2017, patrol inspections doubled as detailed inspections being completed on every transmission asset in the service territory. Beginning in 2018 the recorded inspection numbers estimate the detail inspections in circuit miles being completed. Additionally, the detailed inspection program completes inspections of 1/3 of all SCE transmission assets per year. The completed inspections are tracked by "Grids". SCE's complete transmission line network is broken out into large areas called "Grids" and all execution and tracking are recorded at the grid level. The number being represented uses 1/3rd of the current transmission circuit mile counts in HFTD for each year. 2020 in particular, evenly distributes the 1/3rd of the current transmission mile circuit counts into each quarter.
		High Fire Inspections	NA	NA	NA	NA	520	1,089	1,089	1,089	1,089	577	1,439			SCE tracks completed inspections by tracking the counts of assets inspected instead of tracking by circuit miles. In order to present completed inspections in the requested format, SCE used a calculated average span length multiplied by the number of structures inspected. A correction was made to the Q1 value as it incorrectly included some Q2 inspections.
		Aerial Inspections	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,109	911	911	911	911	546	1,509			SCE tracks completed inspections by tracking the counts of assets inspected instead of tracking by circuit miles. In order to present completed inspections in the requested format, SCE used a calculated average span length multiplied by the number of structures inspected. Additionally, for 2020, SCE tracked the completed asset inspected by the year and in order to represent the 2020 completed asset inspection by quarter, just evenly distributed the completed inspections to each of the four quarters in 2020.
	1.c.iv.	Number of total circuit miles inspected from other inspections (list types of "other" inspections in comments) - Transmission lines	6,460	4,592	6,226	7,309	5,529	1,594	1,594	1,594	1,594	267	2,066	# circuit miles		This row is the sum of the two programs below that are considered as "other"
		IR Corona	0	0	0	0	0	43	43	43	43	0	73			For 2020, SCE tracked the completed inspections by the year. In order to represent the 2020 completed inspection by quarter, SCE evenly distributed the completed inspections to each of the four quarters evenly in 2020.
		Intrusive Pole Inspections	6,460	4,592	6,226	7,309	5,529	1,594	1,594	1,594	1,594	267	1,993			SCE tracks completed inspections by tracking the counts of assets inspected instead of tracking by circuit miles. In order to present completed inspections in the requested format, SCE used a calculated average span length multiplied by the number of structures inspected. Additionally, for 2020, SCE tracked the completed asset inspected by the year and in order to represent the 2020 completed asset inspection by quarter, SCE just evenly distributed the completed inspections to each of the four quarters in 2020.
	1.d.iv.	Level 1 findings for patrol inspections - Transmission lines	241	252	211	178	304	51	51	106	108	48	65	# findings		
	1.e.iv.	Level 1 findings for detailed inspections - Transmission lines	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	19	42	# findings		
	1.f.iv.	Level 1 findings for other inspections (list types of "other" inspections in comments) - Transmission lines	1	2	2	1	1	7	0	1	0	0	0	# findings		
	1.g.iv.	Level 2 findings for patrol inspections - Transmission lines	3,912	4,600	5,393	5,871	22,007	2,536	3,644	1,200	802	1,486	1,492	# findings		
	1.h.iv.	Level 2 findings for detailed inspections - Transmission lines	10	8	7	4	37	628	4,494	1,889	1,072	553	1,259	# findings		
	1.i.iv.	Level 2 findings for other inspections (list types of "other" inspections in comments) - Transmission lines	1,428	583	999	1,150	1,003	101	140	245	375	131	81	# findings		
	1.j.iv.	Level 3 findings for patrol inspections - Transmission lines	7,020	3,350	3,060	1,732	5,049	744	904	475	383	371	508	# findings		
	1.k.iv.	Level 3 findings for detailed inspections - Transmission lines	4	2	1	10	3	44	312	388	210	209	510	# findings		
	1.l.iv.	Level 3 findings for other inspections (list types of "other" inspections in comments) - Transmission lines	1	1	4	3	136	3	2	0	3	0	1	# findings		
2. Vegetation clearance findings from inspection - total	2.a.i	Number of spans inspected where at least some vegetation was found in non-compliant condition - total	NA	NA	NA	NA	2,645	132	568	1,511	924	403	444	# of spans inspected with noncompliant clearance based on applicable rules and regulations at the time of inspection		Prior to July 2019, SCE's work management system did not track the reason why a tree was trimmed, just that trimming was required. In other words, a tree may have been trimmed because it was nearing the regulatory clearance distance (RCD) or because it was inside the RCD. Starting in July of 2019, SCE implemented a new work management system that required inspectors to document whether the tree was found inside the RCD, or other SCE program distances related to clearance which exceed RCD clearance. The historical numbers were updated as a calculation error was discovered.
	2.a.ii	Number of spans inspected for vegetation compliance - total	NA	NA	NA	NA	130,934	37,783	58,595	69,975	73,341	67,137	60,876	# of spans inspected for vegetation compliance		SCE tracks completed vegetation compliance inspections by circuit miles. In order to present completed vegetation compliance inspections in the requested format, SCE divided the recorded circuit miles inspected by the calculated average span length. The historical numbers were updated as a calculation error was discovered.
2. Vegetation clearance findings from inspection - in HFTD	2.b.i	Number of spans inspected where at least some vegetation was found in non-compliant condition in HFTD	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,446	88	368	835	659	282	324	# of spans inspected with noncompliant clearance based on applicable rules and regulations at the time of inspection		SCE tracks findings by count and does not record specific data that associate the findings to a specific span. Therefore SCE is unable to understand how many findings are on each span. The number being presented are just the counts of findings. The historical numbers were updated as a calculation error was discovered.
	2.b.ii	Number of spans inspected for vegetation compliance in HFTD	NA	NA	NA	NA	69,496	24,536	35,702	35,104	49,555	41,422	39,056	# of spans inspected for vegetation compliance		SCE tracks completed vegetation compliance inspections by circuit miles. In order to present completed vegetation compliance inspections in the requested format, SCE divided the recorded circuit miles inspected by the calculated average span length. The historical numbers were updated as a calculation error was discovered.
3. Customer outreach metrics	3.a.	# Customers in an evacuation zone for utility-ignited wildfire	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# customers (if customer was in an evacuation zone for multiple wildfires, count the customer for each relevant wildfire)		SCE has no jurisdiction over evacuation orders. SCE diligently requested and followed up with local governments and law enforcement, and was only able to obtain information from one county. Even then, the information provided included high-level estimations of evacuation counts estimated by the local government and law enforcement entity for a limited amount of fires. Because of this, SCE is unable to obtain the requested data, analyze it, and report on evacuation related requirements in this table. SCE anticipates this to be a recurring challenge going forward.
	3.b.	# Customers notified of evacuation orders	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# customers (count customer multiple times for each unique wildfire of which they were notified)		SCE has no jurisdiction over evacuation orders. SCE diligently requested and followed up with local governments and law enforcement, and was only able to obtain information from one county. Even then, the information provided included high-level estimations of evacuation counts estimated by the local government and law enforcement entity for a limited amount of fires. Because of this, SCE is unable to obtain the requested data, analyze it, and report on evacuation related requirements in this table. SCE anticipates this to be a recurring challenge going forward.
	3.c.	% of customers notified of evacuation in evacuation zone of a utility-ignited wildfire	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Percentage of customers notified of evacuation		SCE has no jurisdiction over evacuation orders. SCE diligently requested and followed up with local governments and law enforcement, and was only able to obtain information from one county. Even then, the information provided included high-level estimations of evacuation counts estimated by the local government and law enforcement entity for a limited amount of fires. Because of this, SCE is unable to obtain the requested data, analyze it, and report on evacuation related requirements in this table. SCE anticipates this to be a recurring challenge going forward.

x

Utility	Southern California Edison Company
Table No.	2
Date Modified	8/2/2021

Notes:
Transmission lines refer to all lines at or above 65kV, and distribution lines refer to all lines below 65kV.

Note: These columns are placeholders for future QR submissions.

Table 2: Recent performance on outcome metrics

Metric type	#	Outcome metric name	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Q1 2020	Q2 2020	Q3 2020	Q4 2020	Q1 2021	Q2 2021	Q3 2021	Q4 2021	Q1 2022	Q2 2022	Q3 2022	Q4 2022	Unit(s)	Comments
1. Risk events	1.a.	Number of all events with probability of ignition, including wires down, contacts with objects, line slap, events with evidence of heat generation, and other events that cause sparking or have the potential to cause ignition	12,337	12,406	13,243	14,635	16,794	2,902	3,368	5,077	3,178	3,578	3,641							Number per year	
	1.b.	Number of wires down (total)	1,532	1,865	1,639	1,217	1,524	391	537	523	593	503	372							Number of wires down per year	
	1.c.	Number of outage events not caused by contact with vegetation (total)	11,930	11,833	12,621	14,211	16,260	2,798	3,298	5,051	3,062	3,554	3,190							Number of outage events per year	
	1.d.	Number of outage events caused by contact with vegetation (total)	407	573	622	424	534	104	70	26	116	95	20							Number of outage events per year	
2. Utility inspection findings - Distribution	2.a.	Number of Level 1 findings (distribution - total)	19,559	22,364	23,598	20,998	24,028	4,857	5,595	6,993	5,634	5,307	4,892							# findings	
	2.b.	Number of Level 2 findings (distribution - total)	92,109	79,438	69,257	82,818	150,166	23,217	24,739	22,511	25,372	21,731	32,378							# findings	
	2.c.	Number of Level 3 findings (distribution - total)	85,588	77,057	64,408	72,774	189,600	14,381	19,487	19,984	21,075	18,450	18,034							# findings	
	2.d.	Number of distribution circuit miles inspected	51,792	51,848	51,228	77,908	69,596	6,496	31,118	19,189	11,733	13,037	19,588							# circuit miles	This total is a summation of all the completed distribution inspection program circuit miles, therefore will be a significantly larger number than the circuit miles of the distribution system.
2. Utility inspection findings - Transmission	2.a.ii	Number of Level 1 findings (transmission - total)	242	255	213	180	305	58	51	108	108	67	107							# findings	Transmission lines for faults and wire downs are typically 65kV and above, but may include some lower voltages (such as 55kV and 33kV).
	2.b.ii	Number of Level 2 findings (transmission - total)	5,350	5,191	6,399	7,025	23,047	3,265	8,278	3,334	2,249	2,170	2,832							# findings	
	2.c.ii	Number of Level 3 findings (transmission - total)	7,025	3,353	3,065	1,745	5,188	791	1,218	863	596	580	1,019							# findings	
	2.d.ii	Number of transmission circuit miles inspected	19,528	17,661	19,295	24,588	24,986	7,558	8,050	8,091	7,845	3,926	7,950							# circuit miles	This total is a summation of all the completed transmission inspection program circuit miles, therefore will be a significantly larger number than the circuit miles of the transmission system.
3. Utility ignited wildfire fatalities	3.a.	Fatalities due to utility-ignited wildfire (total)	0	0	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0							Number of fatalities per year	The information provided in conjunction with the "utility-ignited" wildfire statistics should not be construed as an admission of any wrongdoing or liability by SCE. SCE further notes that the damages metrics provided may be tracked by other agencies and thus, SCE does not guarantee the accuracy of such information. Additionally, in many instances the cause of wildfires are still under investigation and even where an Authority Having Jurisdiction (AHJ) has issued a report on the cause, SCE may dispute the conclusions of such report. Data provided includes wildfires reported in SCE's Fire Incident Data Report, Electric Incident Safety Report and fatalities data from CAL FIRE. Thomas and Woosley CAL FIRE data contributed to the entirety of the 2017 and 2018 values.
	3.b.	Injuries due to utility-ignited wildfire (total)	0	3	2	3	3	0	0	6	2	0	0							Number of injuries per year	The information provided in conjunction with the "utility-ignited" wildfire statistics should not be construed as an admission of any wrongdoing or liability by SCE. SCE further notes that the damages metrics provided may be tracked by other agencies and thus, SCE does not guarantee the accuracy of such information. Additionally, in many instances the cause of wildfires are still under investigation and even where an Authority Having Jurisdiction (AHJ) has issued a report on the cause, SCE may dispute the conclusions of such report. Data provided includes wildfires reported in SCE's Fire Incident Data Report and Electric Incident Safety Report.
4. Value of assets destroyed by utility-ignited wildfire, listed by asset type	4.a.	Value of assets destroyed by utility-ignited wildfire (total)	$ 21,944,989	$ 483,632,927	$ 1,601,205,795	$ 3,342,821,539	$ 21,714,000	$ 150,400	$ 300,800	$ 120,688,284	$ 12,082,300	$ 188,000	$ 451,200							Dollars of damage or destruction per year	The information provided in conjunction with the "utility-ignited" wildfire statistics should not be construed as an admission of any wrongdoing or liability by SCE. SCE further notes that the damages metrics provided may be tracked by other agencies and thus, SCE does not guarantee the accuracy of such information. Additionally, in many instances the cause of wildfires are still under investigation and even where an Authority Having Jurisdiction (AHJ) has issued a report on the cause, SCE may dispute the conclusions of such report. Asset type listed is either SCE or Third Party. Asset per the WSD guidance is utility electrical equipment or third party property. SCE asset value using a per unit cost based on the identified equipment failure for each CPUC reportable ignition. Data provided includes wildfires reported in SCE's Fire Incident Data Report, Electric Incident Safety Report and asset value data from CAL FIRE and the California Department of Insurance. Where third party source of information was unavailable, SCE applied a proxy cost per structure destroyed of $819,472 based on its methodology used in its RAMP report. The California Department of Insurance and proxy cost data use information from insured claims.
5. Structures damaged or destroyed by utility-ignited wildfire	5.a.	Number of structures destroyed by utility-ignited wildfire (total)	45	290	1,072	1,667	26	0	0	47	13	0	0							Number of structures destroyed per year	The information provided in conjunction with the "utility-ignited" wildfire statistics should not be construed as an admission of any wrongdoing or liability by SCE. SCE further notes that the damages metrics provided may be tracked by other agencies and thus, SCE does not guarantee the accuracy of such information. Additionally, in many instances the cause of wildfires are still under investigation and even where an Authority Having Jurisdiction (AHJ) has issued a report on the cause, SCE may dispute the conclusions of such report. Structure is defined as a dwelling, per WSD guidance. Data provided includes wildfires reported in SCE's Fire Incident Data Report and Electric Incident Safety Reports and structures destroyed data from CAL FIRE.
	5.b.	Critical infrastructure damaged/destroyed by utility-ignited wildfire (total)	NA	NA	36	31	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							Number of critical infrastructure damaged/destroyed per year	The information provided in conjunction with the "utility-ignited" wildfire statistics should not be construed as an admission of any wrongdoing or liability by SCE. SCE further notes that the damages metrics provided may be tracked by other agencies and thus, SCE does not guarantee the accuracy of such information. Additionally, in many instances the cause of wildfires are still under investigation and even where an Authority Having Jurisdiction (AHJ) has issued a report on the cause, SCE may dispute the conclusions of such report. Data was drawn from available subrogation claims. These numbers may be updated as more information becomes available.
6. Acreage burned by utility-ignited wildfire	6.a.	Acreage burned by utility-ignited wildfire (total)	15,711	82,897	292,051	97,240	22,784	4	574	115,871	12,863	12	513							Acres burned per year	The information provided in conjunction with the "utility-ignited" wildfire statistics should not be construed as an admission of any wrongdoing or liability by SCE. SCE further notes that the damages metrics provided may be tracked by other agencies and thus, SCE does not guarantee the accuracy of such information. Additionally, in many instances the cause of wildfires are still under investigation and even where an Authority Having Jurisdiction (AHJ) has issued a report on the cause, SCE may dispute the conclusions of such report. Data provided includes wildfires reported in SCE's Fire Incident Data Report and Electric Incident Safety Reports and acreage burned data from CAL FIRE.
7. Number of utility wildfire ignitions	7.a.	Number of ignitions (total) according to existing ignition data reporting requirement	107	96	105	110	124	16	56	45	32	28	59							Number per year	Data are from SCE's CPUC reportable ignitions data set. Historical numbers were updated due to a tabulation error.
	7.b.	Number of ignitions in HFTD (subtotal)	45	41	32	37	35	3	21	17	9	7	22							Number in HFTD per year	
	7.c.	Number of ignitions in HFTD Zone 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							Number in HFTD Zone 1 per year	
	7.c.ii.	Number of ignitions in HFTD Tier 2	13	12	9	15	13	1	5	6	3	1	11							Number in HFTD Tier 2 per year	
	7.c.iii.	Number of ignitions in HFTD Tier 3	32	29	23	22	22	2	16	11	6	6	11							Number in HFTD Tier 3 per year	
		Number of ignitions in Non-CPUC HFTD	1	0	3	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0							Number in Non-CPUC HFTD	
	7.d.	Number of ignitions in non-HFTD (subtotal)	61	55	70	72	86	13	35	28	23	21	37							Number in non-HFTD per year	
8. Fatalities resulting from utility wildfire mitigation initiatives	8.a.	Fatalities due to utility wildfire mitigation activities (total) - "activities" defined as all activities accounted for in the 2020 WMP proposed WMP spend	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0							Number of fatalities per year	By providing this data, SCE is not admitting that 1) any responsibility or liability for any incident reported herein or 2) that a wildfire mitigation activity caused a fatality.
9. OSHA-reportable injuries from utility wildfire mitigation initiatives	9.a.	OSHA-reportable injuries due to utility wildfire mitigation activities (total) - "activities" defined as all activities accounted for in the 2020 WMP proposed WMP spend	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	1							Number of OSHA-reportable injuries per year	By providing this data, SCE is not admitting that 1) any responsibility or liability for any incident reported herein or 2) that a wildfire mitigation activity caused an injury.

Utility	Southern California Edison Company
Table No.	3
Date Modified	8/2/2021

Note: These columns are placeholders for future QR submissions.

Table 3: List and description of additional metrics

Metric	Definition	Purpose	Assumptions made to connect metric to purpose	Third-party validation (if any)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Q1 2020	Q2 2020	Q3 2020	Q4 2020	Q1 2021	Q2 2021	Q3 2021	Q4 2021	Q1 2022	Q2 2022	Q3 2022	Q4 2022	Unit(s)	Comments
CPUC reportable ignitions in High Fire Risk Areas (HFRA)	Events meeting reportable ignition status per Decision 14-02-015 and falling within BL322, HFTD Zone 1 HFTD Tier 2 and 200 ft. Outer Buffer, and HFTD Tier 3 and 200 ft. Outer Buffer areas	To measure changes in rate of ignitions between years	Factors outside of SCE's control (e.g., wind, live fuel moisture) have a significant effect on CPUC reportable ignition counts in HFRA.	Annual submission of CPUC reportable ignition totals to CPUC	46	41	35	37	38	3	22	16	9	7	22							Number of reportable ignitions in HFRA	HFRA includes HFTD Tier 3, HFTD Tier 2, HFTD Zone 1, and BL322 (non-CPUC HFRA)
Faults in HFRA	Events in which electrical current deviates from the anticpated path via SCE facilities within BL322, HFTD Zone 1 HFTD Tier 2 and 200 ft. Outer Buffer, and HFTD Tier 3 and 200 ft. Outer Buffer areas	To measure changes in rate of fault events which are a pre-cursor both ignition and safety events	Number of faults in HFRA based on cause. These metrics may help to provide insight on controllable and uncontrollable risks or help plan future activities to focus on a particular type of fault or outage that may be of wildfire risk.	Deep-dive audits of select portions of utility grid	3,723	4,004	4,286	4,558	6,578	1011	1147	1436	1132	912	806							Number of faults in HFRA	HFRA includes HFTD Tier 3, HFTD Tier 2, HFTD Zone 1, and BL322 (non-CPUC HFRA). Note: SCE is incorporating additional Transmission outage data as an improvement to its outage reporting. Historical reporting has been revised to reflect the additional Transmission outage data.
Wire Down Incidents in HFRA	Events in which SCE overhead conductors (energized or de-energized) fall within 8ft above ground or lower, within BL322, HFTD Tier 2 and 200 ft. Outer Buffer, and HFTD Tier 3 and 200 ft. Outer Buffer areas	To measure changes in rate of wire down events which are a pre-cursor both ignition and safety events	Number of wire down incidents in HFRA based on cause. These metrics may help to provide insight on controllable and uncontrollable risks or help plan future activities to focus on a particular type of fault or outage that may be of wildfire risk.	Deep-dive audits of select portions of utility grid	245	338	304	199	303	72	86	77	85	116	40							Number of wire downs per year in HFRA	HFRA includes HFTD Tier 3, HFTD Tier 2, HFTD Zone 1, and BL322 (non-CPUC HFRA)
Number of customers and average duration of Public Safety Power Shutoff (PSPS) events																							
Total # of customers de-energized	Count of customers de-energized, with duplicates, per year	To measure the scale of impact of outages due to PSPS to customers, with duplicates	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Refer to Table 11, # 4.a.	Refer to Table 11, # 4.a.	Refer to Table 11, # 4.a.	Refer to Table 11, # 4.a.	Refer to Table 11, # 4.a.	Refer to Table 11, # 4.a.	Refer to Table 11, # 4.a.	Refer to Table 11, # 4.a.	Refer to Table 11, # 4.a.	Refer to Table 11, # 4.a.	Refer to Table 11, # 4.a.							Number of customers	None
Average duration of de-energization across all customers.	Average outage duration experienced by PSPS de-energization per customer de-energized	Of the customers de-energized due to PSPS, to measure the magnitude of the effect of the PSPS de-energization	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	N/A	N/A	30.3	23.2	27	N/A	N/A	2.2	18.3	23.9	2.9							Hours	Applies to each instance of a customer being de-energized due to PSPS
Timeliness and accuracy of PSPS notifications																							
% of customers notified prior to a PSPS event impacting them	# of customers notified prior to initiation of PSPS event who were impacted by PSPS/ # of customers impacted by PSPS (if multiple PSPS events impact the same customer, count each event as a separate customer)	To measure success rate of notification for the customers who were impacted by de-energization	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Refer to Table 11, # 4.e.	Refer to Table 11, # 4.e.	Refer to Table 11, # 4.e.	Refer to Table 11, # 4.e.	Refer to Table 11, # 4.e.	Refer to Table 11, # 4.e.	Refer to Table 11, # 4.e.	Refer to Table 11, # 4.e.	Refer to Table 11, # 4.e.	Refer to Table 11, # 4.e.	Refer to Table 11, # 4.e.							Percentage	None
% of customers notified prior to a PSPS event that did not impact them	% of customers notified of potential de-energization that were not de-energized for that PSPS event (on a total customer basis) 1 - (# of total customers de-energized / # of imminent de-energization notifications sent)	To measure the occurrence of PSPS notifications and de-energizations	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	39%	61%	65%	87%							% of customers notified of imminent potential de-energization that were not de-energized for that PSPS event (on a total customer basis)	This data was not recorded prior to 2020.

Utility	Southern California Edison Company
Table No.	4
Date Modified	8/2/2021

Table 4: Fatalities due to utility wildfire mitigation initiatives

Note: These columns are placeholders for future QR submissions.

Metric type	#	Outcome metric name	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Q1 2020	Q2 2020	Q3 2020	Q4 2020	Q1 2021	Q2 2021	Q3 2021	Q4 2021	Q1 2022	Q2 2022	Q3 2022	Q4 2022	Unit(s)	Comments
1. Fatalities - Full-time Employee	1.a.	Fatalities due to utility inspection - Full-time employee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# fatalities	
	1.b.	Fatalities due to vegetation management - Full-time employee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# fatalities	
	1.c.	Fatalities due to utility fuel management - Full-time employee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# fatalities	
	1.d.	Fatalities due to grid hardening - Full-time employee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# fatalities	
	1.e.	Fatalities due to other - Full-time employee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# fatalities	
2. Fatalities - Contractor	2.a.	Fatalities due to utility inspection - Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# fatalities	
	2.b.	Fatalities due to vegetation management - Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0							# fatalities	By providing this data, SCE is not admitting: 1) any responsibility or liability for any incident reported herein or 2) that a wildfire mitigation activity caused a fatality.
	2.c.	Fatalities due to utility fuel management - Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# fatalities	
	2.d.	Fatalities due to grid hardening - Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# fatalities	
	2.e.	Fatalities due to other - Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# fatalities	
3. Fatalities - Member of public	3.a.	Fatalities due to utility inspection - Public	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# fatalities	
	3.b.	Fatalities due to vegetation management - Public	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# fatalities	
	3.c.	Fatalities due to utility fuel management - Public	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# fatalities	
	3.d.	Fatalities due to grid hardening - Public	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# fatalities	
	3.e.	Fatalities due to other - Public	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# fatalities	

Utility	Southern California Edison Company
Table No.	5
Date Modified	8/2/2021

Note: These columns are placeholders for future QR submissions.

Table 5: OSHA-reportable injuries due to utility wildfire mitigation initiatives

Metric type	#	Outcome metric name	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Q1 2020	Q2 2020	Q3 2020	Q4 2020	Q1 2021	Q2 2021	Q3 2021	Q4 2021	Q1 2022	Q2 2022	Q3 2022	Q4 2022	Unit(s)	Comments
1. OSHA injuries - Full-time Employee	1.a.	OSHA injuries due to utility inspection - Full-time employee	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0							# OSHA-reportable injuries	By providing this data, SCE is not admitting that 1) any responsibility or liability for any incident reported herein or 2) that a wildfire mitigation activity caused an injury.
	1.b.	OSHA injuries due to vegetation management - Full-time employee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# OSHA-reportable injuries	
	1.c.	OSHA injuries due to utility fuel management - Full-time employee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# OSHA-reportable injuries	
	1.d.	OSHA injuries due to grid hardening - Full-time employee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# OSHA-reportable injuries	By providing this data, SCE is not admitting that 1) any responsibility or liability for any incident reported herein or 2) that a wildfire mitigation activity caused an injury.
	1.e.	OSHA injuries due to other - Full-time employee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# OSHA-reportable injuries	
2. OSHA injuries - Contractor	2.a.	OSHA injuries due to utility inspection - Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# OSHA-reportable injuries	
	2.b.	OSHA injuries due to vegetation management - Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1							# OSHA-reportable injuries	By providing this data, SCE is not admitting that 1) any responsibility or liability for any incident reported herein or 2) that a wildfire mitigation activity caused an injury.
	2.c.	OSHA injuries due to utility fuel management - Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# OSHA-reportable injuries	
	2.d.	OSHA injuries due to grid hardening - Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0							# OSHA-reportable injuries	By providing this data, SCE is not admitting that 1) any responsibility or liability for any incident reported herein or 2) that a wildfire mitigation activity caused an injury.
	2.e.	OSHA injuries due to other - Contractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# OSHA-reportable injuries	
3. OSHA injuries - Member of public	3.a.	OSHA injuries due to utility inspection - Public	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# OSHA-reportable injuries	
	3.b.	OSHA injuries due to vegetation management - Public	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# OSHA-reportable injuries	
	3.c.	OSHA injuries due to utility fuel management - Public	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# OSHA-reportable injuries	
	3.d.	OSHA injuries due to grid hardening - Public	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# OSHA-reportable injuries	
	3.e.	OSHA injuries due to other - Public	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0							# OSHA-reportable injuries	

Utility	Southern California Edison Company
Table No.	6
Date Modified	8/2/2021

Table 6: Weather patterns

Note: These columns are placeholders for future QR submissions.

Metric type	#	Outcome metric name	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Q1 2020	Q2 2020	Q3 2020	Q4 2020	Q1 2021	Q2 2021	Q3 2021	Q4 2021	Q1 2022	Q2 2022	Q3 2022	Q4 2022	Unit(s)	Comments
1. Red Flag Warning Overhead circuit mile Days	1.a.	Red Flag Warning Overhead circuit mile days - entire utility territory	80,504	286,327	476,404	283,806	201,423	0	24,845	62,241	162,422	58,515	16,825.39							Sum of overhead circuit miles of utility grid subject to Red Flag Warning each day within a given time period, calculated as the number of overhead circuit miles that were under an RFW multiplied by the number of days those circuit miles were under said RFW. For example, if 100 overhead circuit miles were under an RFW for 1 day, and 10 of those miles were under RFW for an additional day, then the total RFW OH circuit mile days would be 110.	GIS systems are used in order to overlay the locational information of each red flag warning. GIS models are updated frequently with changes within SCE's service territroy and does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, the overhead lengths of distribution and transmission circuits are based on 2020 circuit mile information for the calculation of historical years 2015-2019. Additionally, this overall number may be slightly different than the 2020 WMP filing due to the use of the 2020 GIS information. Historical information was re-calculated as high fire threat district break outs are new requirements in the 2021 WMP.
	1.b.	Red Flag Warning Overhead circuit mile days - HFTD Zone 1	0.8	8.0	4.1	2.8	1.7	0.0	0.4	1.3	1.7	1	0.32							Red Flag Warning Overhead circuit mile days, see above for definition	GIS systems are used in order to overlay the locational information of each red flag warning. GIS models are updated frequently with changes within SCE's service territroy and does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, the overhead lengths of distribution and transmission circuits are based on 2020 circuit mile information for the calculation of historical years 2015-2019. Additionally, this overall number may be slightly different than the 2020 WMP filing due to the use of the 2020 GIS information. Historical information was re-calculated as high fire threat district break outs are new requirements in the 2021 WMP.
	1.c.	Red Flag Warning Overhead circuit mile days - HFTD Tier 2	9,214	31,921	50,039	31,295	21,598	0	4,391	10,011	17,964	7,003	3,074.07							Red Flag Warning Overhead circuit mile days, see above for definition	GIS systems are used in order to overlay the locational information of each red flag warning. GIS models are updated frequently with changes within SCE's service territroy and does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, the overhead lengths of distribution and transmission circuits are based on 2020 circuit mile information for the calculation of historical years 2015-2019. Additionally, this overall number may be slightly different than the 2020 WMP filing due to the use of the 2020 GIS information. Historical information was re-calculated as high fire threat district break outs are new requirements in the 2021 WMP.
	1.d.	Red Flag Warning Overhead circuit mile days - HFTD Tier 3	25,523	88,117	127,005	82,216	57,321	0	4,031	13,920	36,805	17,404	1,214.14							Red Flag Warning Overhead circuit mile days, see above for definition	GIS systems are used in order to overlay the locational information of each red flag warning. GIS models are updated frequently with changes within SCE's service territroy and does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, the overhead lengths of distribution and transmission circuits are based on 2020 circuit mile information for the calculation of historical years 2015-2019. Additionally, this overall number may be slightly different than the 2020 WMP filing due to the use of the 2020 GIS information. Historical information was re-calculated as high fire threat district break outs are new requirements in the 2021 WMP.
	1.e.	Red Flag Warning Overhead circuit mile days - Non-HFTD	45,766	166,281	299,356	170,293	122,502	0	16,423	38,309	107,651	34,108	12,536.87							Red Flag Warning Overhead circuit mile days, see above for definition	GIS systems are used in order to overlay the locational information of each red flag warning. GIS models are updated frequently with changes within SCE's service territroy and does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, the overhead lengths of distribution and transmission circuits are based on 2020 circuit mile information for the calculation of historical years 2015-2019. Additionally, this overall number may be slightly different than the 2020 WMP filing due to the use of the 2020 GIS information. Historical information was re-calculated as high fire threat district break outs are new requirements in the 2021 WMP.
2. Wind conditions	2.a.	High wind warning overhead circuit mile days	78,965	116,378	144,820	133,880	95,208	61,545	9,235	62	57,072	78,101	10,502.66							Sum of overhead circuit miles of utility grid subject to High Wind Warnings (HWW, as defined by the National Weather Service) each day within a given time period, calculated as the number of overhead circuit miles that were under an HWW multiplied by the number of days those miles were under said HWW. For example, if 100 overhead circuit miles were under an HWW for 1 day, and 10 of those miles were under HWW for an additional day, then the total HWW OH circuit mile days would be 110.	GIS systems are used in order to overlay the locational information of each red flag warning. GIS models are updated frequently with changes within SCE's service territroy and does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, the overhead lengths of distribution and transmission circuits are based on 2020 circuit mile information for the calculation of historical years 2015-2019. Additionally, this overall number may be slightly different than the 2020 WMP filing due to the use of the 2020 GIS information. Historical information was re-calculated as high fire threat district break outs are new requirements in the 2021 WMP.
3. Other	3.a.	Other relevant weather pattern metrics tracked (add additional rows as needed)																			

Utility	Southern California Edison Company
Table No.	7.1
Date Modified	8/2/2021

Notes:
Transmission lines refer to all lines at or above 65kV, and distribution lines refer to all lines below 65kV. Transmission lines for faults and wire downs are typically 65kV and above, but may include some lower voltages (such as 55kV and 33kV).
Data from 2015 - 2020 Q2 should be actual numbers. 2020 Q3 - 2023 should be projected. In future submissions update projected numbers with actuals

Table 7.1: Key recent and projected drivers of risk events

					Number of risk events											Projected risk events								
Risk Event category	**Cause category**	**#**	**Sub-cause category**	**Are risk events tracked for ignition driver? (yes / no)**	**2015**	**2016**	**2017**	**2018**	**2019**	**Q1 2020**	**Q2 2020**	**Q3 2020**	**Q4 2020**	**Q1 2021**	**Q2 2021**	**Q3 2021**	**Q4 2021**	**Q1 2022**	**Q2 2022**	**Q3 2022**	**Q4 2022**	**Unit(s)**	**Comments**
Wire down event - Distribution	1. Contact from object - Distribution	1.a.	Veg. contact- Distribution	Yes	279	357	384	158	308	86	105	82	151	114	34	78	88	77	72	77	87	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		1.b.	Animal contact- Distribution	Yes	74	57	53	48	38	10	19	29	12	11	10	14	14	13	13	13	13	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		1.c.	Balloon contact- Distribution	Yes	115	112	115	134	98	22	47	27	12	24	48	21	11	23	41	20	10	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		1.d.	Vehicle contact- Distribution	Yes	227	349	248	267	269	76	121	88	98	79	106	72	72	76	69	71	70	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		1.e.	Other contact from object - Distribution	Yes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	2. Equipment / facility failure - Distribution	2.a.	Connector damage or failure- Distribution	Yes	84	106	81	75	68	25	36	38	23	21	13	22	22	21	22	22	22	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		2.b.	Splice damage or failure — Distribution	Yes	35	28	24	24	28	3	9	10	7	10	3	7	7	7	7	7	7	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		2.c.	Crossarm damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	31	26	26	25	35	10	10	6	9	15	3	6	9	10	10	6	9	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		2.d.	Insulator damage or failure- Distribution	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		2.e.	Lightning arrestor damage or failure- Distribution	Yes	0	0	3	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		2.f.	Tap damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	0	0	4	5	12	4	3	1	2	5	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		2.g.	Tie wire damage or failure - Distribution	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		2.h.	Other - Distribution	Yes	685	824	667	423	607	144	171	198	238	104	105	170	165	173	170	170	165	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	The total of all sub-cause category types
			Pole damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	13	12	28	39	37	9	24	20	20	14	18	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of wire-down events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Pothead damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	0	0	3	8	6	3	2	5	1	1	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of wire-down events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Fuse failure damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	2	1	1	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of wire-down events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Guy damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	0	0	1	3	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of wire-down events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Conductor failure damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	0	0	28	44	120	33	51	63	57	49	25	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of wire-down events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Various other damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	672	812	607	328	437	98	93	108	159	39	61	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of wire-down events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
	3. Wire-to-wire contact - Distribution	3.a.	Wire-to-wire contact / contamination- Distribution	Yes	0	0	1	2	1	0	4	2	1	4	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	4. Contamination - Distribution	4.a.	Contamination - Distribution	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	5. Utility work / Operation	5.a.	Utility work / Operation	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	6. Vandalism / Theft - Distribution	6.a.	Vandalism / Theft - Distribution	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	7. Other- Distribution	7.a.	All Other- Distribution	Yes	0	0	33	53	54	11	11	41	39	116	50	39	39	39	39	39	39	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	8. Unknown- Distribution	8.a.	Unknown - Distribution	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
Wire down event - Transmission	9. Contact from object - Transmission	9.a.	Veg. contact- Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		9.b.	Animal contact- Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		9.c.	Balloon contact- Transmission	Yes	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		9.d.	Vehicle contact- Transmission	Yes	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		9.e.	Other contact from object - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	10. Equipment / facility failure - Transmission	10.a.	Connector damage or failure- Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		10.b.	Splice damage or failure — Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		10.c.	Crossarm damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		10.d.	Insulator damage or failure- Transmission	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.

		10.e.	Lightning arrestor damage or failure- Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		10.f.	Tap damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		10.g.	Tie wire damage or failure - Transmission	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		10.h.	Other - Transmission	Yes	1	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	The total of all sub-cause category types
			Pole damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of wire-down events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Pothead damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of wire-down events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Fuse failure damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of wire-down events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Guy damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of wire-down events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Conductor failure damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of wire-down events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Various other damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of wire-down events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
	11. Wire-to-wire contact - Transmission	11.a.	Wire-to-wire contact / contamination- Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	12. Contamination - Transmission	12.a.	Contamination - Transmission	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	13. Utility work / Operation	13.a.	Utility work / Operation	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	14. Vandalism / Theft - Transmission	14.a.	Vandalism / Theft - Transmission	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	15. Other- Transmission	15.a.	All Other- Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	16. Unknown- Transmission	16.a.	Unknown - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
Outage - Distribution	17. Contact from object - Distribution	17.a.	Veg. contact- Distribution	Yes	395	557	609	416	527	104	70	25	112	93	19	22	101	103	32	18	99	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		17.b.	Animal contact- Distribution	Yes	655	598	622	648	686	122	201	169	163	79	168	153	153	111	191	141	146	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		17.c.	Balloon contact- Distribution	Yes	758	785	911	975	776	178	348	275	191	247	437	223	153	220	307	209	144	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		17.d.	Vehicle contact- Distribution	Yes	508	586	528	647	517	116	113	153	132	145	129	131	131	132	130	124	125	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		17.e.	Other contact from object - Distribution	Yes	870	393	289	369	449	44	28	35	43	64	75	106	110	107	79	105	110	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	The total of all sub-cause category types below. An additional sub-cause category type was added below requiring a new summation for the total.
			Ice/Snow - Distribution	Yes	4	15	19	9	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Lightning - Distribution	Yes	757	264	167	225	323	20	2	15	27	29	28	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. The new sub-cause categories were originally forecasted under "23. Other- Distribution" and now has been moved to "17. Contact from object - Distribution"
			Various other contact from object - Distribution	Yes	109	114	103	135	123	24	26	20	16	34	47	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
	18. Equipment / facility failure - Distribution	18.a.	Capacitor bank damage or failure- Distribution	Yes	319	309	425	376	457	128	160	73	44	111	100	92	95	88	94	92	95	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		18.b.	Conductor damage or failure — Distribution	Yes	463	594	654	713	1,116	205	143	211	250	277	110	180	146	133	195	149	85	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		18.c.	Fuse damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	232	195	245	508	1,245	169	176	316	167	180	132	132	166	168	166	132	166	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		18.d.	Lightning arrestor damage or failure- Distribution	Yes	105	127	99	105	216	27	21	26	25	12	21	30	31	31	31	30	31	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		18.e.	Switch damage or failure- Distribution	Yes	51	46	45	67	78	17	11	16	18	15	10	14	15	15	15	14	15	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		18.f.	Pole damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	98	126	130	207	541	57	36	31	41	32	20	38	41	41	41	38	41	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		18.g.	Insulator and brushing damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	42	75	79	123	121	28	14	11	43	30	14	15	31	24	16	15	31	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		18.h.	Crossarm damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	127	143	138	354	834	98	45	29	45	39	17	60	74	75	75	60	74	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		18.i.	Voltage regulator / booster damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	1	2	1	2	4	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		18.j.	Recloser damage or failure - Distribution	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		18.k.	Anchor / guy damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	17	20	18	17	20	3	3	3	4	3	1	2	6	6	4	2	6	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		18.l.	Sectionalizer damage or failure - Distribution	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		18.m.	Connection device damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	386	490	406	501	500	123	111	86	97	111	106	110	112	111	112	110	112	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.

		18.n.	Transformer damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	1,889	1,649	1,978	2,594	2,489	416	559	1,894	536	403	545	1154	712	671	757	1141	709	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		18.o.	Other - Distribution	Yes	96	147	116	173	291	37	40	51	60	49	60	57	59	59	58	57	59	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	The total of all sub-cause category types
			Pole Top Sub damage or failure - Distribution	Yes					1		1			0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Pothead damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	91	143	109	155	128	24	27	27	40	28	33	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Tower damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Various other damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	5	4	7	18	160	13	12	24	20	21	27	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
	19. Wire-to-wire contact - Distribution	19.a.	Wire-to-wire contact / contamination- Distribution	Yes	46	78	64	41	13	6	5	8	7	3	2	7	7	7	7	6	7	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	20. Contamination - Distribution	20.a.	Contamination - Distribution	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	21. Utility work / Operation	21.a.	Utility work / Operation	Yes	149	117	99	94	67	32	15	18	10	16	10	16	16	16	16	16	16	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	22. Vandalism / Theft - Distribution	22.a.	Vandalism / Theft - Distribution	Yes	78	80	78	102	103	23	21	21	15	8	16	22	22	22	22	22	22	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	23. Other- Distribution	23.a.	All Other- Distribution	Yes	2,010	2,251	2,359	3,147	3,125	481	586	977	453	377	530	959	615	574	651	959	615	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	The total of all sub-cause category types. A sub-cause category type was removed below requiring a new summation for the total.
			De-Energize - Distribution	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Dig In - Distribution	Yes	42	51	57	83	48	10	7	18	13	15	16	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Source Lost - Distribution	Yes	5	2	26	49	96	12	14	14	4	15	11	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Substation - Distribution	Yes	10	18	30	61	106	16	24	22	18	29	30	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Underground Equipment - Distribution	Yes	1,949	2,166	2,234	2,944	2,846	442	531	909	409	318	473	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Various other - Distribution	Yes	4	14	12	10	29	1	10	13	9	0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
	24. Unknown- Distribution	24.a.	Unknown - Distribution	Yes	2,142	2,141	2,408	1,741	1,883	364	466	513	558	603	507	525	496	551	530	525	496	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
Outage - Transmission	25. Contact from object - Transmission	25.a.	Veg. contact- Transmission	Yes	12	16	13	8	7	0	0	1	4	2	1	3	2	3	2	3	2	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		25.b.	Animal contact- Transmission	Yes	80	75	67	67	31	7	19	4	8	4	12	8	8	8	6	8	8	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		25.c.	Balloon contact- Transmission	Yes	23	39	55	36	24	2	13	5	8	9	14	8	8	8	10	8	8	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		25.d.	Vehicle contact- Transmission	Yes	36	37	40	29	18	3	5	5	3	7	6	4	4	4	4	4	4	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		25.e.	Other contact from object - Transmission	Yes	75	36	35	18	28	7	4	5	3	1	2	8	8	8	7	8	8	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	The total of all sub-cause category types below. An additional sub-cause category type was added below requiring a new summation for the total.
			Ice/Snow - Transmission	Yes		2	2	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Lighting - Transmission	Yes	64	22	28	33	21	4	1	5	2	0	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. The new sub-cause categories were originally forecasted under "31. Other- Transmission" and now has been moved to "25. Contact from object - Transmission"
			Various other contact from object - Transmission	Yes	11	12	5	5	4	3	1	0	1	1	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
	26. Equipment / facility failure - Transmission	26.a.	Capacitor bank damage or failure- Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		26.b.	Conductor damage or failure — Transmission	Yes	22	15	89	44	36	5	2	13	7	10	3	10	10	10	9	10	10	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		26.c.	Fuse damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		26.d.	Lightning arrestor damage or failure- Transmission	Yes	2	5	2	4	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		26.e.	Switch damage or failure- Transmission	Yes	5	3	4	5	2	3	2	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		26.f.	Pole damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	12	12	17	7	14	3	0	1	3	2	8	3	3	3	3	3	3	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		26.g.	Insulator and brushing damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	10	13	21	4	9	2	3	1	1	0	1	3	3	2	2	3	3	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		26.h.	Crossarm damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	11	7	7	6	8	2	1	1	0	0	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		26.i.	Voltage regulator / booster damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		26.j.	Recloser damage or failure - Transmission	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		26.k.	Anchor / guy damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	3	8	8	1	4	0	1	2	4	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		26.l.	Sectionalizer damage or failure - Transmission	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.

		26.m.	Connection device damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	1	1	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		26.n.	Transformer damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		26.o.	Other - Transmission	Yes	14	26	10	19	41	3	8	6	8	9	7	6	6	6	6	6	6	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	The total of all sub-cause category types
			Pole Tops Sub damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Pothead damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	6	4	0	12	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Tower damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	2	1	2	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Various other - Transmission	Yes	8	20	9	5	36	2	7	3	8	9	7	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
	27. Wire-to-wire contact - Transmission	27.a.	Wire-to-wire contact / contamination- Transmission	Yes	14	17	15	19	42	9	10	1	3	0	9	5	5	5	5	5	5	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	28. Contamination - Transmission	28.a.	Contamination - Transmission	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	29. Utility work / Operation	29.a.	Utility work / Operation	Yes	10	15	8	9	8	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	30. Vandalism / Theft - Transmission	30.a.	Vandalism / Theft - Transmission	Yes	4	7	2	10	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	31. Other- Transmission	31.a.	All Other- Transmission	Yes	194	238	240	242	193	40	67	47	54	52	57	47	54	40	67	47	54	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	The total of all sub-cause category types. A sub-cause category type was removed below requiring a new summation for the total.
			De-energized - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Dig In - Transmission	Yes	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Source Lost - Transmission	Yes	7	2	21	38	36	5	3	7	7	3	3	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Substation - Transmission	Yes	179	221	208	188	146	35	63	39	47	39	53	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Underground Equipment	Yes	5	4	7	14	7	0	1	1	0	1	1	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
			Various other - Transmission	Yes	2	10	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	9	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	This is a new sub-cause category type added to increase transparency of outage events. New sub-cause categories were forecasted as an aggregate rather as individual line items and forecast data is not included for these categories.
	32. Unknown- Transmission	32.a.	Unknown - Transmission	Yes	371	326	306	160	266	38	60	39	54	50	54	53	52	55	50	53	52	# risk events (excluding ignitions)	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
Ignition - Distribution	33. Contact from object - Distribution	33.a.	Veg. contact- Distribution	Yes	13	12	16	15	13	0	2	3	2	3	5	3	2	2	3	3	2	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		33.b.	Animal contact- Distribution	Yes	9	8	6	12	18	0	8	3	4	2	7	6	5	3	7	5	4	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		33.c.	Balloon contact- Distribution	Yes	12	10	18	30	15	0	7	1	2	3	8	6	3	0	9	6	3	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		33.d.	Vehicle contact- Distribution	Yes	11	6	6	13	10	0	2	1	0	1	1	3	2	2	3	3	2	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		33.e.	Other contact from object - Distribution	Yes	3	6	5	0	6	0	0	3	1	4	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	34. Equipment / facility failure - Distribution	34.a.	Capacitor bank damage or failure- Distribution	Yes	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		34.b.	Conductor damage or failure — Distribution	Yes	2	19	15	5	11	3	6	8	6	4	13	6	4	3	5	6	3	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		34.c.	Fuse damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	1	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		34.d.	Lightning arrestor damage or failure- Distribution	Yes	2	0	2	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		34.e.	Switch damage or failure- Distribution	Yes	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		34.f.	Pole damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	1	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		34.g.	Insulator and brushing damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	1	2	2	1	2	3	1	2	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		34.h.	Crossarm damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		34.i.	Voltage regulator / booster damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		34.j.	Recloser damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		34.k.	Anchor / guy damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		34.l.	Sectionalizer damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		34.m.	Connection device damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	4	4	3	1	7	0	0	2	1	1	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.

		34.n.	Transformer damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	3	2	2	10	3	1	3	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	# ignitions
		34.o.	Other - Distribution	Yes	6	7	1	7	2	0	2	2	0	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	# ignitions
	35. Wire-to-wire contact - Distribution	35.a.	Wire-to-wire contact / contamination- Distribution	Yes	1	1	3	3	8	0	2	2	1	3	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	# ignitions
	36. Contamination - Distribution	36.a.	Contamination - Distribution	Yes	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
	37. Utility work / Operation	37.a.	Utility work / Operation	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
	38. Vandalism / Theft - Distribution	38.a.	Vandalism / Theft - Distribution	Yes	3	0	0	1	6	2	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	# ignitions
	39. Other- Distribution	39.a.	All Other- Distribution	Yes	4	0	1	0	4	1	3	1	2	2	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	# ignitions
	40. Unknown- Distribution	40.a.	Unknown - Distribution	Yes	21	5	12	6	1	0	2	0	1	1	0	3	2	1	2	3	2	# ignitions
Ignition - Transmission	41. Contact from object - Transmission	41.a.	Veg. contact- Transmission	Yes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
		41.b.	Animal contact- Transmission	Yes	3	2	3	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	# ignitions
		41.c.	Balloon contact- Transmission	Yes	1	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
		41.d.	Vehicle contact- Transmission	Yes	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
		41.e.	Other contact from object - Transmission	Yes	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
	42. Equipment / facility failure - Transmission	42.a.	Capacitor bank damage or failure- Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
		42.b.	Conductor damage or failure — Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
		42.c.	Fuse damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
		42.d.	Lightning arrestor damage or failure- Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
		42.e.	Switch damage or failure- Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
		42.f.	Pole damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
		42.g.	Insulator and brushing damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
		42.h.	Crossarm damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
		42.i.	Voltage regulator / booster damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
		42.j.	Recloser damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
		42.k.	Anchor / guy damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
		42.l.	Sectionalizer damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
		42.m.	Connection device damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
		42.n.	Transformer damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
		42.o.	Other - Transmission	Yes	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
	43. Wire-to-wire contact - Transmission	43.a.	Wire-to-wire contact / contamination- Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
	44. Contamination - Transmission	44.a.	Contamination - Transmission	Yes	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
	45. Utility work / Operation	45.a.	Utility work / Operation	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
	46. Vandalism / Theft - Transmission	46.a.	Vandalism / Theft - Transmission	Yes	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
	47. Other- Transmission	47.a.	All Other- Transmission	Yes	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions
	48. Unknown- Transmission	48.a.	Unknown - Transmission	Yes	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions

Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.

Utility	Southern California Edison Company
Table No.	7.2
Date Modified	8/1/2023

Notes:
Transmission lines refer to all lines at or above 65kV, and distribution lines refer to all lines below 65kV.
Data from 2015 - 2019 should be actual numbers. 2020 - 2023 should be projected. In future submissions update projected numbers with actuals

Table 7.2: Key recent and projected drivers of ignitions by HFTD region

Metric type	#	Ignition driver	Are ignitions tracked for ignition driver? (yes / no)	Number of ignitions by HFTD tier																						Projected ignitions by HFTD tier														Unit(s)	Comments
				Non-HFTD 2015	HFTD Zone 1 2015	HFTD Tier 2 2015	HFTD Tier 3 2015	Non-CPUC HFTD 2015	Non-HFTD 2016	HFTD Zone 1 2016	HFTD Tier 2 2016	HFTD Tier 3 2016	Non-CPUC HFTD 2016	Non-HFTD 2017	HFTD Zone 1 2017	HFTD Tier 2 2017	HFTD Tier 3 2017	Non-CPUC HFTD 2017	Non-HFTD 2018	HFTD Zone 1 2018	HFTD Tier 2 2018	HFTD Tier 3 2018	Non-CPUC HFTD 2018	Non-HFTD 2019	HFTD Zone 1 2019	HFTD Tier 2 2019	HFTD Tier 3 2019	Non-CPUC HFTD 2019	Non-HFTD 2020	HFTD Zone 1 2020	HFTD Tier 2 2020	HFTD Tier 3 2020	Non-CPUC HFTD 2020	Non-HFTD 2021	HFTD Zone 1 2021	HFTD Tier 2 2021	HFTD Tier 3 2021	Non-CPUC HFTD 2021	Non-HFTD 2022	HFTD Zone 1 2022	HFTD Tier 2 2022	HFTD Tier 3 2022	Non-CPUC HFTD 2022		
Ignition - Distribution	1. Contact from object - Distribution	1.a.	Veg. contact- Distribution	Yes	7	0	2	4	0	7	0	1	4	0	10	0	1	5	0	10	0	4	1	0	10	0	1	1	1	8	0	2	1	0	9	0	1	0	0	9	0	1	0	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		1.b.	Animal contact- Distribution	Yes	2	0	1	6	0	4	0	2	2	0	3	0	1	2	0	8	0	3	1	0	14	0	2	2	0	15	0	2	5	0	16	0	2	2	0	16	0	1	2	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		1.c.	Balloon contact- Distribution	Yes	10	0	0	2	0	7	0	0	3	0	11	0	3	4	0	24	0	1	5	0	10	0	2	3	0	10	0	2	5	0	14	0	1	4	0	14	0	1	3	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		1.d.	Vehicle contact- Distribution	Yes	7	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	2	0	4	0	1	1	0	4	0	3	5	1	8	0	2	0	0	3	0	1	2	0	5	0	1	3	0	5	0	1	3	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		1.e.	Other contact from object - Distribution	Yes	2	0	1	1	0	3	0	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	4	0	1	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	2. Equipment / facility failure - Distribution	2.a.	Capacitor bank damage or failure- Distribution	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		2.b.	Conductor damage or failure — Distribution	Yes	1	0	1	0	0	14	0	2	3	0	14	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	3	0	6	0	2	3	0	11	0	2	12	0	4	0	1	14	0	4	0	1	12	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		2.c.	Fuse damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		2.d.	Lightning arrestor damage or failure- Distribution	Yes	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		2.e.	Switch damage or failure- Distribution	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		2.f.	Pole damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		2.g.	Insulator and brushing damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		2.h.	Crossarm damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		2.i.	Voltage regulator / booster damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		2.j.	Recloser damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		2.k.	Anchor / guy damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		2.l.	Sectionalizer damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		2.m.	Connection device damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	2	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		2.n.	Transformer damage or failure - Distribution	Yes	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	8	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	1	0	8	0	1	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		2.o.	Other - Distribution	Yes	4	0	0	2	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	3. Wire-to-wire contact - Distribution	3.a.	Wire-to-wire contact / contamination- Distribution	Yes	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	6	0	1	1	0	4	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	4. Contamination - Distribution	4.a.	Contamination - Distribution	Yes	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	5. Utility work / Operation	5.a.	Utility work / Operation	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	6. Vandalism / Theft - Distribution	6.a.	Vandalism / Theft - Distribution	Yes	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	1	0	4	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	3	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	7. Other- Distribution	7.a.	All Other- Distribution	Yes	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	6	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	8. Unknown- Distribution	8.a.	Unknown - Distribution	Yes	14	0	1	6	0	3	0	0	2	0	7	0	1	3	1	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
Ignition - Transmission	9. Contact from object - Transmission	9.a.	Veg. contact- Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		9.b.	Animal contact- Transmission	Yes	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	# ignitions	Note that due to certain enhancements made to determining cause sub-categories of events, figures in this table may not tie exactly to those provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		9.c.	Balloon contact- Transmission	Yes	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		9.d.	Vehicle contact- Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		9.e.	Other contact from object - Transmission	Yes	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	10. Equipment / facility failure - Transmission	10.a.	Capacitor bank damage or failure- Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		10.b.	Conductor damage or failure — Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		10.c.	Fuse damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		10.d.	Lightning arrestor damage or failure- Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		10.e.	Switch damage or failure- Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		10.f.	Pole damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		10.g.	Insulator and brushing damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		10.h.	Crossarm damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		10.i.	Voltage regulator / booster damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		10.j.	Recloser damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		10.k.	Anchor / guy damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		10.l.	Sectionalizer damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		10.m.	Connection device damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		10.n.	Transformer damage or failure - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
		10.o.	Other - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	11. Wire-to-wire contact - Transmission	11.a.	Wire-to-wire contact / contamination- Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	12. Contamination - Transmission	12.a.	Contamination - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	13. Utility work / Operation	13.a.	Utility work / Operation	No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	14. Vandalism / Theft - Transmission	14.a.	Vandalism / Theft - Transmission	Yes	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	15. Other- Transmission	15.a.	All Other- Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.
	16. Unknown- Transmission	16.a.	Unknown - Transmission	Yes	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	# ignitions	Note that SCE enhanced its mapping of outage data to faults; this may have shifted numbers in this table compared to the numbers provided in SCE's Remedial Compliance Plan SCE-2 - Determining Cause of Near Misses.

Utility	Southern California Edison Company
Table No.	8
Date Modified	8/2/2021

Table 8: State of service territory and utility equipment

Note: These columns are placeholders for future QR submissions.

Metric type	#	Outcome metric name	Non-HFTD 2015	HFTD Zone 1 2015	HFTD Tier 2 2015	HFTD Tier 3 2015	Non-HFTD 2016	HFTD Zone 1 2016	HFTD Tier 2 2016	HFTD Tier 3 2016	Non-HFTD 2017	HFTD Zone 1 2017	HFTD Tier 2 2017	HFTD Tier 3 2017	Non-HFTD 2018	HFTD Zone 1 2018	HFTD Tier 2 2018	HFTD Tier 3 2018	Non-HFTD 2019	HFTD Zone 1 2019	HFTD Tier 2 2019	HFTD Tier 3 2019	Non-HFTD 2020	HFTD Zone 1 2020	HFTD Tier 2 2020	HFTD Tier 3 2020	Non-HFTD 2021	HFTD Zone 1 2021	HFTD Tier 2 2021	HFTD Tier 3 2021	Non-HFTD 2022	HFTD Zone 1 2022	HFTD Tier 2 2022	HFTD Tier 3 2022	Unit(s)	Comments
1. State of service territory and equipment in urban areas	1.a.	Circuit miles (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	17,160	1	1,126	1,453	17,053	1	1,035	1,428									Circuit miles	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all circuit miles, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes circuit miles within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	1.b.	Circuit miles in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	3,446	0	750	1,364	3,482	0	674	1,339									Circuit miles in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all circuit miles, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes circuit miles within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	1.c.	Number of critical facilities (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	36,757	6	2,550	3,923	36,911	6	2,207	3,917									Number of critical facilities	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included some locations outside of SCE's service territory within California, whereas 2020 data solely includes critical facilities within SCE's service territory within California. SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	1.d.	Number of critical facilities in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	7,305	5	1,676	3,489	7,502	5	1,417	3,489									Number of critical facilities in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included some locations outside of SCE's service territory within California, whereas 2020 data solely includes critical facilities within SCE's service territory within California. SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	1.e.	Number of customers (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	3,790,432	545	209,126	323,745	3,790,432	545	209,126	323,745									Number of customers	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	1.f.	Number of customers in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	778,819	525	149,646	294,005	778,819	525	149,646	294,005									Number of customers in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	1.g.	Number of customers belonging to access and functional needs populations (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,032,899	32	30,783	44,840	1,032,899	32	30,783	44,840									Number of customers belonging to access and functional needs populations	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	1.h.	Number of customers belonging to access and functional needs populations in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	206,260	21	23,970	41,362	206,260	21	23,970	41,362									Number of customers belonging to access and functional needs populations in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	1.i.	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,954	0	218	224	1,937	0	204	215									Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all circuit miles, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes circuit miles within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	1.j.	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	293	0	131	182	301	0	121	174									Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all circuit miles, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes circuit miles within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	1.k.	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	15,206	1	908	1,229	15,116	1	831	1,213									Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all circuit miles, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes circuit miles within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	1.l.	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	3,153	0	619	1,181	3,181	0	553	1,166									Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all circuit miles, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes circuit miles within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.

	1.m.	Number of substations (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	231	0	23	17	230	0	12	13	Number of substations	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all substations, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes substations within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	1.n	Number of substations in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	47	0	16	16	43	0	6	12	Number of substations in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all substations, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes substations within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
,	1.o.	Number of weather stations (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	35	0	18	32	51	0	107	94	Number of weather stations	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	1.p.	Number of weather stations in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	20	0	11	31	29	0	63	89	Number of weather stations in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
2. State of service territory and equipment in rural areas	2.a.	Circuit miles (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	8,536	0	2,127	3,724	8,543	0	2,012	3,676	Circuit miles	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all circuit miles, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes circuit miles within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	2.b.	Circuit miles in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	3,263	0	1,492	2,729	3,307	0	1,408	2,695	Circuit miles in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all circuit miles, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes circuit miles within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	2.c.	Number of critical facilities (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	7,692	0	1,456	2,894	7,744	0	1,338	2,890	Number of critical facilities	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included some locations outside of SCE's service territory within California, whereas 2020 data solely includes critical facilities within SCE's service territory within California. SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	2.d.	Number of critical facilities in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2,397	0	1,036	2,348	2,460	0	940	2,343	Number of critical facilities in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included some locations outside of SCE's service territory within California, whereas 2020 data solely includes critical facilities within SCE's service territory within California. SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	2.e.	Number of customers (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	225,587	20	53,624	92,195	225,587	20	53,624	92,195	Number of customers	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	2.f.	Number of customers in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	94,950	16	44,971	83,235	94,950	16	44,971	83,235	Number of customers in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	2.g.	Number of customers belonging to access and functional needs populations (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	37,100	4	7,741	9,410	37,100	4	7,741	9,410	Number of customers belonging to access and functional needs populations	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	2.h.	Number of customers belonging to access and functional needs populations in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	19,384	1	6,718	8,676	19,384	1	6,718	8,676	Number of customers belonging to access and functional needs populations in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	2.i.	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,353	0	454	772	1,348	0	444	757	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all circuit miles, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes circuit miles within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.

	2.j.	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	334	0	284	419	336	0	277	410	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all circuit miles, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes circuit miles within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	2.k.	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	7,183	0	1,673	2,952	7,195	0	1,567	2,919	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all circuit miles, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes circuit miles within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	2.l.	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2,929	0	1,208	2,310	2,970	0	1,131	2,285	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all circuit miles, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes circuit miles within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	2.m.	Number of substations (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	125	0	18	32	112	0	13	29	Number of substations	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all substations, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes substations within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	2.n	Number of substations in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	25	0	10	26	21	0	6	24	Number of substations in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all substations, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes substations within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	2.o.	Number of weather stations (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	20	0	53	152	30	0	144	273	Number of weather stations	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	2.p.	Number of weather stations in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	9	0	39	119	14	0	105	216	Number of weather stations in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
3. State of service territory and equipment in highly rural areas	3.a.	Circuit miles (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	12,179	1	2,758	2,992	11,688	1	2,645	2,916	Circuit miles	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all circuit miles, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes circuit miles within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	3.b.	Circuit miles in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	94	0	35	44	94	0	25	44	Circuit miles in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all circuit miles, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes circuit miles within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	3.c.	Number of critical facilities (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	21,784	0	1,767	2,598	21,728	0	1,613	2,560	Number of critical facilities	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included some locations outside of SCE's service territory within California, whereas 2020 data solely includes critical facilities within SCE's service territory within California. SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	3.d.	Number of critical facilities in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	98	0	22	32	99	0	18	29	Number of critical facilities in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included some locations outside of SCE's service territory within California, whereas 2020 data solely includes critical facilities within SCE's service territory within California. SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	3.e.	Number of customers (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	379,812	8	24,861	37,774	379,812	8	24,861	37,774	Number of customers	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
	3.f.	Number of customers in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2,566	0	968	1,578	2,566	0	968	1,578	Number of customers in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.

3.g.	Number of customers belonging to access and functional needs populations (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	44,535	0	2,492	2,674	44,535	0	2,492	2,674	Number of customers belonging to access and functional needs populations	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
3.h.	Number of customers belonging to access and functional needs populations in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	342	0	54	100	342	0	54	100	Number of customers belonging to access and functional needs populations in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
3.i.	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	5,161	0	1,286	1,400	4,764	0	1,256	1,372	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all circuit miles, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes circuit miles within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
3.j.	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	8	0	3	3	8	0	3	5	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all circuit miles, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes circuit miles within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
3.k.	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	7,018	1	1,472	1,593	6,924	1	1,389	1,544	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all circuit miles, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes circuit miles within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
3.l.	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	86	0	31	41	86	0	21	39	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all circuit miles, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes circuit miles within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
3.m.	Number of substations (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	420	0	62	49	322	0	49	40	Number of substations	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all substations, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes substations within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
3.n	Number of substations in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	Number of substations in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ Furthermore, 2019 data included all substations, including those outside of California, whereas 2020 data solely includes substations within the state of California for assets SCE maintains (which does include some assets outside of SCE's service territory). SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
3.o.	Number of weather stations (including WUI and non-WUI)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	36	0	90	137	47	0	348	465	Number of weather stations	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.
3.p.	Number of weather stations in WUI	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0	0	3	0	0	0	10	4	Number of weather stations in WUI	GIS models are updated frequently to reflect changes within SCE's service area and for data clean-up. SCE does not have the ability to analyze and calculate information in previous years. As such, only 2020 information was obtained from GIS. 2015-2018 data is not available and 2019 data is the same as what was provided in SCE's 2020 WMP filing. ~~The 2019 transmission data was replicated for 2020 because SCE discovered data discrepancies completing the GIS Data Schema requirements.~~ SCE is still conducting quality control review of all the data and will correct any errors once its review is complete.

Utility	Southern California Edison Company
Table No.	9
Date Modified	2/5/2021

Notes:
Transmission lines refer to all lines at or above 65kV, and distribution lines refer to all lines below 65kV. Report net additions using positive numbers and net removals and undergrounding using negative numbers for circuit miles and numbers of substations. Only report changes expected within the target year.
For example, if 20 net overhead circuit miles are planned for addition by 2023, with 15 being added by 2022 and 5 more added by 2023, then report "15" for 2022 and "5" for 2023. Do not report cumulative change across years. In this case, do not report "20" for 2023, but instead the number planned to be added for just that year, which is "5".

Table 9: Location of actual and planned utility equipment additions or removal year over year

			Actual				Projected									
Metric type	**#**	**Outcome metric name**	**Non-HFTD 2020**	**HFTD Zone 1 2020**	**HFTD Tier 2 2020**	**HFTD Tier 3 2020**	**Non-HFTD 2021**	**HFTD Zone 1 2021**	**HFTD Tier 2 2021**	**HFTD Tier 3 2021**	**Non-HFTD 2022**	**HFTD Zone 1 2022**	**HFTD Tier 2 2022**	**HFTD Tier 3 2022**	**Unit(s)**	**Comments**
1. Planned utility equipment net addition (or removal) year over year - in urban areas	1.a.	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines (including WUI and non-WUI)	4.0	0.0	1.5	1.5	7.3	0.0	2.5	1.0	10.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	Circuit miles	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	1.b.	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines (including WUI and non-WUI)	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Circuit miles	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map the distribution projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	1.c.	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines in WUI	0.1	0.0	1.5	1.1	0.7	0.0	2.5	1.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	Circuit miles in WUI	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	1.d.	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines in WUI	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Circuit miles in WUI	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map the distribution projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	1.e.	Number of substations (including WUI and non-WUI)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Number of substations	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	1.f.	Number of substations in WUI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Number of substations in WUI	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	1.g.	Number of weather stations (including WUI and non-WUI)	16	0	89	62	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Number of weather stations	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	1.h.	Number of weather stations in WUI	9	0	52	58	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Number of weather stations in WUI	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
2. Planned utility equipment net addition (or removal) year over year - in rural areas	2.a.	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines (including WUI and non-WUI)	3.5	0.0	3.7	5.5	2.6	0.0	5.9	2.7	8.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	Circuit miles	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	2.b.	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines (including WUI and non-WUI)	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Circuit miles	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map the distribution projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	2.c.	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines in WUI	2.5	0.0	2.5	3.9	1.4	0.0	4.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Circuit miles in WUI	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	2.d.	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines in WUI	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Circuit miles in WUI	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map the distribution projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	2.e.	Number of substations (including WUI and non-WUI)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Number of substations	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	2.f.	Number of substations in WUI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Number of substations in WUI	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.

	2.g.	Number of weather stations (including WUI and non-WUI)	10	0	91	121	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Number of weather stations	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	2.h.	Number of weather stations in WUI	5	0	66	97	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Number of weather stations in WUI	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
3. Planned utility equipment net addition (or removal) year over year - in highly rural areas	3.a.	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines (including WUI and non-WUI)	4.3	0.0	5.7	18.9	3.6	0.0	4.3	5.3	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	Circuit miles	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	3.b.	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines (including WUI and non-WUI)	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Circuit miles	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map the distribution projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	3.c.	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines in WUI	0	0	0	0.3	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Circuit miles in WUI	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	3.d.	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines in WUI	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Circuit miles in WUI	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map the distribution projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	3.e.	Number of substations (including WUI and non-WUI)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Number of substations	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	3.f.	Number of substations in WUI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Number of substations in WUI	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	3.g.	Number of weather stations (including WUI and non-WUI)	11	0	91	102	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Number of weather stations	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	3.h.	Number of weather stations in WUI	0	0	2	2	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Number of weather stations in WUI	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.

Utility	Southern California Edison Company
Table No.	10
Date Modified	2/5/2021

Notes:
Transmission lines refer to all lines at or above 65kV, and distribution lines refer to all lines below 65kV.
In future submissions update planned upgrade numbers with actuals
In the comments column on the far-right, enter the relevant program target(s) associatec

Table 10: Location of actual and planned utility infrastructure upgrades year over year

			Actual				Projected									
			Non-HFTD	HFTD Zone 1	HFTD Tier 2	HFTD Tier 3	Non-HFTD	HFTD Zone 1	HFTD Tier 2	HFTD Tier 3	Non-HFTD	HFTD Zone 1	HFTD Tier 2	HFTD Tier 3		
Metric type	**#**	**Outcome metric name**	**2020**	**2020**	**2020**	**2020**	**2021**	**2021**	**2021**	**2021**	**2022**	**2022**	**2022**	**2022**	**Unit(s)**	**Comments**
1. Planned utility infrastructure upgrades year over year - in urban areas	1.a.	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines planned for upgrades (including WUI and non-WUI)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Circuit miles	
	1.b.	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines planned for upgrades (including WUI and non-WUI)	4.7	0.0	16.4	46.2	32.3	0.0	63.9	252.6	35.2	0.0	73.5	149.4	Circuit miles	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	1.c.	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines planned for upgrades in WUI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Circuit miles in WUI	
	1.d.	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines planned for upgrades in WUI	4.3	0.0	16.1	44.9	16.4	0.0	62.3	247.1	28.5	0.0	66.8	148.1	Circuit miles in WUI	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	1.e.	Number of substations planned for upgrades (including WUI and non-WUI)	1	0	6	1	4	0	1	2	5	0	0	2	Number of substations	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	1.f.	Number of substations planned for upgrades in WUI	1	0	4	1	1	0	1	2	2	0	0	2	Number of substations in WUI	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	1.g.	Number of weather stations planned for upgrades (including WUI and non-WUI)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Number of weather stations	
	1.h.	Number of weather stations planned for upgrades in WUI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Number of weather stations in WUI	
2. Planned utility infrastructure upgrades year over year - in rural areas	2.a.	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines planned for upgrades (including WUI and non-WUI)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Circuit miles	
	2.b.	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines planned for upgrades (including WUI and non-WUI)	9.5	0.0	93.0	390.4	60.7	0.0	304.9	938.6	28.8	0.0	186.9	268.3	Circuit miles	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	2.c.	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines planned for upgrades in WUI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Circuit miles in WUI	
	2.d.	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines planned for upgrades in WUI	7.4	0.0	58.5	296.2	47.9	0.0	247.8	763.9	19.9	0.0	132.5	202.2	Circuit miles in WUI	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	2.e.	Number of substations planned for upgrades (including WUI and non-WUI)	0	0	0	4	2	0	1	2	2	0	3	2	Number of substations	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	2.f.	Number of substations planned for upgrades in WUI	0	0	0	4	1	0	1	2	2	0	2	2	Number of substations in WUI	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	2.g.	Number of weather stations planned for upgrades (including WUI and non-WUI)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Number of weather stations	
	2.h.	Number of weather stations planned for upgrades in WUI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Number of weather stations in WUI	
3. Planned utility infrastructure upgrades year over year - in highly rural areas	3.a.	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines planned for upgrades (including WUI and non-WUI)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Circuit miles	
	3.b.	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines planned for upgrades (including WUI and non-WUI)	3.0	0.0	121.2	88.8	30.9	0.0	109.6	381.8	19.2	0.0	108.5	149.7	Circuit miles	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	3.c.	Circuit miles of overhead transmission lines planned for upgrades in WUI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Circuit miles in WUI	
	3.d.	Circuit miles of overhead distribution lines planned for upgrades in WUI	0.1	0.0	1.8	2.2	0.4	0.0	1.5	12.1	0.1	0.0	2.2	2.6	Circuit miles in WUI	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.

	3.e.	Number of substations planned for upgrades (including WUI and non-WUI)	5	0	1	3	1	0	2	2	8	0	8	5	Number of substations	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	3.f.	Number of substations planned for upgrades in WUI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Number of substations in WUI	SCE does not routinely track planned additions, removals, or upgrades by circuit mile, population density, or WUI. While SCE has a number of planned distribution projects over the next few years, they are not far enough along in the project lifecycle to have a complete list of affected structures (new or existing), circuit path/route geometries, and/or geospatial coordinates. Therefore, SCE is unable to map all projects in GIS and subdivide as requested.
	3.g.	Number of weather stations planned for upgrades (including WUI and non-WUI)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Number of weather stations	
	3.h.	Number of weather stations planned for upgrades in WUI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Number of weather stations in WUI	

Utility	Southern California Edison Company
Table No.	11
Date Modified	8/2/2021

Notes:
"PSPS" = Public Safety Power Shutoff
In future submissions update planned upgrade numbers with actuals

Table 11: Recent use of PSPS and other PSPS metrics

			Actual											Projected							
Metric type	**#**	**Outcome metric name**	**2015**	**2016**	**2017**	**2018**	**2019**	**Q1 2020**	**Q2 2020**	**Q3 2020**	**Q4 2020**	**Q1 2021**	**Q2 2021**	**Q3 2021**	**Q4 2021**	**Q1 2022**	**Q2 2022**	**Q3 2022**	**Q4 2022**	**Unit(s)**	**Comments**
1. Recent use of PSPS	1.a.	Frequency of PSPS events (total)	0	0	1	3	7	0	0	2	8	1	1	Low 1 / High 3	Low 3 / High 11					Number of instances where utility operating protocol requires de-energization of a circuit or portion thereof to reduce ignition probability, per year. Only include events in which de-energization ultimately occurred	During 2020, SCE initiated 12 PSPS events (2 of which SCE did not de-energize, Table 11, Metric Type 5.a.) with 16 periods of concern, i.e., periods of time when de-energization was likely to occur due to forecast weather and fuel conditions, 16 relates to periods of concerns. For Q2-Q4 2021 time periods, SCE used 2020 recorded data adjusted for improvement expected based on SCE's planned wildfire mitigation activities to create a baseline. To factor in weather variability, which has significant impacts on PSPS events, SCE developed a range around the baseline. The range was based on an 18 year backcast analysis that analyzed how current PSPS triggers would have resulted in PSPS events when applied to historical weather data. For further details on calculating the range, please see section 8.5
	1.b.	Scope of PSPS events (total)	0	0	7	6	267	0	0	7	417	160	1	Low 2 / High 7	Low 147 / High 473					Circuit-events, measured in number of events multiplied by number of circuits de-energized per year	SCE interprets this line item as de-energized circuit count. Additionally, the numbers being reported may not align with the ESRB-8 report because that report uses preliminary operations data that has not been fully validated. For Q2-Q4 2021 time periods, SCE used 2020 recorded data adjusted for improvement expected based on SCE's planned wildfire mitigation activities to create a baseline. To factor in weather variability, which has significant impacts on PSPS events, SCE developed a range around the baseline. The range was based on an 18 year backcast analysis that analyzed how current PSPS triggers would have resulted in PSPS events when applied to historical weather data. For further details on calculating the range, please see section 8.5
	1.c.	Duration of PSPS events (total)	0	0	87,019	3,570	5,275,193	0	0	3,981	4,451,955	1,953,962	224	Low 1,129 / High 3,622	Low 1,213,366 / High 3,893,102					Customer hours per year	For Q2-Q4 2021 time periods, SCE used 2020 recorded data adjusted for improvement expected based on SCE's planned wildfire mitigation activities to create a baseline. To factor in weather variability, which has significant impacts on PSPS events, SCE developed a range around the baseline. The range was based on an 18 year backcast analysis that analyzed how current PSPS triggers would have resulted in PSPS events when applied to historical weather data. For further details on calculating the range, please see section 8.5
2. Customer hours of PSPS and other outages	2.a.	Customer hours of planned outages including PSPS (total)	0	11,067,182	10,406,442	9,556,442	10,918,480	1,236,491	770,811	1,295,679	6,103,855	3,778,268	NA	1,830,060	4,539,429					Total customer hours of planned outages per year	SCE has not traditionally calculated reliability metrics tied to planned outages. Since 2019, SCE has been improving and refining its planned outage reliability reporting, therefore the years after 2018 reflect not only actual changes but changes due to the improved process. Further, SCE does not consider PSPS to be planned outages but has included PSPS metrics in this row as requested by WSD. SCE is currently unable to provide planned outage data metrics due to recent IT system implementation issues. SCE is actively investigating this issue and will provide the data when it is available. Forecast is based on time-series forecast.
	2.b.	Customer hours of unplanned outages, not including PSPS (total)	8,401,612	9,276,813	7,788,697	6,088,158	7,617,913	1,480,964	1,496,752	2,350,456	2,224,812	1,615,913	1,958,196	2,350,456	2,224,812					Total customer hours of unplanned outages per year	Forecast is based on time-series forecast.
	2.c.	System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) (including PSPS)	100.15	241.21	214.28	183.09	215.91	31.46	26.25	42.21	96.41	63.08	NA	48.39	78.29					SAIDI index value = sum of all interruptions in time period where each interruption is defined as sum(duration of interruption * # of customer interruptions) / Total number of customers served	SCE has not traditionally calculated reliability metrics tied to planned outages. Since 2019, SCE has been improving and refining its planned outage reliability reporting, therefore the years after 2018 reflect not only actual changes but changes due to the improved process. Further, SCE does not consider PSPS to be planned outages but has included PSPS metrics in this row as requested by WSD. SCE is currently unable to provide planned outage data metrics due to recent IT system implementation issues. SCE is actively investigating this issue and will provide the data when it is available. Forecast is based on time-series forecast.
	2.d.	System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) (excluding PSPS)	100.15	241.21	213.25	183.04	154.47	31.46	26.25	42.16	44.88	39.76	NA	46.75	41.68					SAIDI index value = sum of all interruptions in time period where each interruption is defined as sum(duration of interruption * # of customer interruptions) / Total number of customers served	SCE has not traditionally calculated reliability metrics tied to planned outages. Since 2019, SCE has been improving and refining its planned outage reliability reporting, therefore the years after 2018 reflect not only actual changes but changes due to the improved process. Forecast is based on time-series forecast.SCE is currently unable to provide planned outage data metrics due to recent IT system implementation issues. SCE is actively investigating this issue and will provide the data when it is available.
	2.e.	System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI) (including PSPS)	1.164	1.335	1.203	1.029	1.105	0.222	0.216	0.282	0.321	0.293	NA	0.31	0.279					SAIFI index value = sum of all interruptions in time period where each interruption is defined as (total # of customer interruptions) / (total # of customers served)	SCE has not traditionally calculated reliability metrics tied to planned outages. Since 2019, SCE has been improving and refining its planned outage reliability reporting, therefore the years after 2018 reflect not only actual changes but changes due to the improved process. Further, SCE does not consider PSPS to be planned outages but has included PSPS metrics in this row as requested by WSD. SCE is currently unable to provide planned outage data metrics due to recent IT system implementation issues. SCE is actively investigating this issue and will provide the data when it is available. Forecast is based on time-series forecast.
	2.f.	System Average Interruption Frequency Index (SAIFI) (excluding PSPS)	1.164	1.335	1.203	1.029	1.067	0.222	0.216	0.281	0.279	0.270	NA	0.309	0.278					SAIFI index value = sum of all interruptions in time period where each interruption is defined as (total # of customer interruptions) / (total # of customers served)	SCE is currently unable to provide planned outage data metrics due to recent IT system implementation issues. SCE is actively investigating this issue and will provide the data when it is available. Forecast is based on time-series forecast.
3. Critical infrastructure impacted by PSPS	3.a.	Critical infrastructure impacted by PSPS	0	0	NA	NA	5,868	0	0	12	5,123	2,066	78	Low 1 / High 4	Low 1,658 / High 5,320					Number of critical infrastructure (in accordance with D.19-05-042) locations impacted per hour multiplied by hours offline per year	The numbers being reported may not align with the ESRB-8 report because that report uses preliminary operations data that has not been fully validated. SCE also notes, that earlier PSPS events were not tracked and recorded in the same level of detail as it is now, therefore not all data is available. For Q2-Q4 2021 time periods, SCE used 2020 recorded data adjusted for improvement expected based on SCE's planned wildfire mitigation activities to create a baseline. To factor in weather variability, which has significant impacts on PSPS events, SCE developed a range around the baseline. The range was based on an 18 year backcast analysis that analyzed how current PSPS triggers would have resulted in PSPS events when applied to historical weather data. For further details on calculating the range, please see section 8.5 Historical data was updated as a typing error was discovered.
4. Community outreach of PSPS metrics	4.a.	# of customers impacted by PSPS	0	0	2,861	112	198,826	0	0	270	229,530	116,349	78	Low 58 / High 185	Low 67,220 / High 215,678					# of customers impacted by PSPS (if multiple PSPS events impact the same customer, count each event as a separate customer)	The numbers being reported may not align with the ESRB-8 report because that report uses preliminary operations data that has not been fully validated. For Q2-Q4 2021 time periods, SCE used 2020 recorded data adjusted for improvement expected based on SCE's planned wildfire mitigation activities to create a baseline. To factor in weather variability, which has significant impacts on PSPS events, SCE developed a range around the baseline. The range was based on an 18 year backcast analysis that analyzed how current PSPS triggers would have resulted in PSPS events when applied to historical weather data. For further details on calculating the range, please see section 8.5
	4.b.	# of medical baseline customers impacted by PSPS	0	0	NA	NA	4,043	0	0	11	7,725	3,415	2	Low 4 / High 12	Low 2,443 / High 7,837					# of customers impacted by PSPS (if multiple PSPS events impact the same customer, count each event as a separate customer)	The numbers being reported may not align with the ESRB-8 report because that report uses preliminary operations data that has not been fully validated. SCE also notes, that earlier PSPS events were not tracked and recorded in the same level of detail as it is now, therefore not all data is available. For Q2-Q4 2021 time periods, SCE used 2020 recorded data adjusted for improvement expected based on SCE's planned wildfire mitigation activities to create a baseline. To factor in weather variability, which has significant impacts on PSPS events, SCE developed a range around the baseline. The range was based on an 18 year backcast analysis that analyzed how current PSPS triggers would have resulted in PSPS events when applied to historical weather data. For further details on calculating the range, please see section 8.5
	4.c.	# of customers notified prior to initiation of PSPS event	0	0	NA	NA	155,824	0	0	232	143,908	110,217	66	Low 36 / High 116	Low 41,960 / High 134,628					# of customers notified of PSPS event prior to initiation (if multiple PSPS events impact the same customer, count each event in which customer was notified as a separate customer)	The numbers being reported may not align with the ESRB-8 report because that report uses preliminary operations data that has not been fully validated. SCE also notes, that earlier PSPS events were not tracked and recorded in the same level of detail as it is now, therefore not all data is available.
	4.d.	# of medical baseline customers notified prior to initiation of PSPS event	0	0	NA	NA	3,044	0	0	15	7,531	3,138	2	Low 4 / High 12	Low ,296 / High 7,367					# of customers notified of PSPS event prior to initiation (if multiple PSPS events impact the same customer, count each event in which customer was notified as a separate customer)	The numbers being reported may not align with the ESRB-8 report because that report uses preliminary operations data that has not been fully validated. SCE also notes, that earlier PSPS events were not tracked and recorded in the same level of detail as it is now, therefore not all data is available.
	4.e.	% of customers notified prior to a PSPS event impacting them	0	0	NA	NA	78%	0	0	85%	62%	95%	85%	62%	62%					=4.c. / 4.a.	SCE also notes, that earlier PSPS events were not tracked and recorded in the same level of detail as it is now, therefore not all data is available.
	4.f.	% of medical baseline customers notified prior to a PSPS event impacting them	0	0	NA	NA	75%	0	0	100%	88%	92%	100%	100%	94%					=4.d. / 4.b.	SCE also notes, that earlier PSPS events were not tracked and recorded in the same level of detail as it is now, therefore not all data is available.
5. Other PSPS metrics	5.a.	Number of PSPS events triggered where no de-energization occurred	0	0	NA	NA	7	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0					Number of instances where utility notified the public of a potential PSPS event but no de-energization followed	SCE also notes, that earlier PSPS events were not tracked and recorded in the same level of detail as it is now, therefore not all data is available.
	5.b.	Number of customers located on de-energized circuit	0	0	NA	NA	237,666	0	0	5,820	407,853	597,448	78	Low 1,226 / High 3,933	Low 118,918 / High 381,552					Number of customers	This data includes the number of customers on a circuit whether they were de-energized or not For Q2-Q4 2021 time periods, SCE used 2020 recorded data adjusted for improvement expected based on SCE's planned wildfire mitigation activities to create a baseline. To factor in weather variability, which has significant impacts on PSPS events, SCE developed a range around the baseline. The range was based on an 18 year backcast analysis that analyzed how current PSPS triggers would have resulted in PSPS events when applied to historical weather data. For further details on calculating the range, please see section 8.5 SCE also notes, that earlier PSPS events were not tracked and recorded in the same level of detail as it is now, therefore not all data is available.

	5.c.	Customer hours of PSPS per RFW OH circuit mile day	0	0	NA	NA	NA	0	0	17	434	875	11	L 6 / H1 8	L 158 / H 507	=1.c. / RFW OH circuit mile days in time period	For Q2-Q4 2021 time periods, SCE used 2020 recorded data adjusted for improvement expected based on SCE's planned wildfire mitigation activities to create a baseline. To factor in weather variability, which has significant impacts on PSPS events, SCE developed a range around the baseline. The range was based on an 18 year backcast analysis that analyzed how current PSPS triggers would have resulted in PSPS events when applied to historical weather data. For further details on calculating the range, please see section 8.5 SCE also notes, that earlier PSPS events were not tracked and recorded in the same level of detail as it is now, therefore not all data is available. Historical numbers were corrected as the original anlaysis methodology was found to be faulty. Additionally, Since historical numbers were adjusted, the forecast numbers were re-forecasted.
	5.d.	Frequency of PSPS events (total) - High Wind Warning wind conditions	0	0	NA	NA	NA	0	0	1	8	1	1	L 0 / H 1	L 3 / H 11	Events over time period that overlapped with a High Wind Warning as defined by the National Weather Service	For Q2-Q4 2021 time periods, SCE used 2020 recorded data adjusted for improvement expected based on SCE's planned wildfire mitigation activities to create a baseline. To factor in weather variability, which has significant impacts on PSPS events, SCE developed a range around the baseline. The range was based on an 18 year backcast analysis that analyzed how current PSPS triggers would have resulted in PSPS events when applied to historical weather data. For further details on calculating the range, please see section 8.5 SCE also notes, that earlier PSPS events were not tracked and recorded in the same level of detail as it is now, therefore not all data is available. Historical numbers were corrected as the original anlaysis methodology was found to be faulty. Additionally, Since historical numbers were adjusted, the forecast numbers were re-forecasted.
	5.e.	Scope of PSPS events (total) - High Wind Warning wind conditions	0	0	NA	NA	NA	0	0	7	392	151	1	L 2 / H 5	L 104 / H 335	Estimated customers impacted over time period that overlapped with a High Wind Warning as defined by the National Weather Service	For Q2-Q4 2021 time periods, SCE used 2020 recorded data adjusted for improvement expected based on SCE's planned wildfire mitigation activities to create a baseline. To factor in weather variability, which has significant impacts on PSPS events, SCE developed a range around the baseline. The range was based on an 18 year backcast analysis that analyzed how current PSPS triggers would have resulted in PSPS events when applied to historical weather data. For further details on calculating the range, please see section 8.5 SCE also notes, that earlier PSPS events were not tracked and recorded in the same level of detail as it is now, therefore not all data is available. SCE interprets this line item as de-energized circuit counts that overlap with High Wind Warnings. Historical numbers were corrected as the original anlaysis methodology was found to be faulty. Additionally, since historical numbers were adjusted, the forecast numbers were re-forecasted.
	5.f.	Duration of PSPS events (total) - High Wind Warning wind conditions	0	0	NA	NA	NA	0	0	3,500	4,298,692	1,826,480	4	L 910 / H 2,920	L 1,175,242 / H 3,770,782	Customer hours over time period that overlapped with a High Wind Warning as defined by the National Weather Service	For Q2-Q4 2021 time periods, SCE used 2020 recorded data adjusted for improvement expected based on SCE's planned wildfire mitigation activities to create a baseline. To factor in weather variability, which has significant impacts on PSPS events, SCE developed a range around the baseline. The range was based on an 18 year backcast analysis that analyzed how current PSPS triggers would have resulted in PSPS events when applied to historical weather data. For further details on calculating the range, please see section 8.5 SCE also notes, that earlier PSPS events were not tracked and recorded in the same level of detail as it is now, therefore not all data is available. Historical numbers were corrected as the original anlaysis methodology was found to be faulty. Additionally, Since historical numbers were adjusted, the forecast numbers were re-forecasted.

Utility	Southern California Edison Company
Table No.	12
Date Modified	8/2/2021

Notes:
Risk-Spend-Efficiency (RSE) is defined as "An estimate of the cost-effectiveness of initiative, calculated by dividing the mitigation risk reduction benefit by the mitigation cost estimate based on the full set of risk reduction benefits estimated from the incurred costs."
CAPEX = Capital expenditure; OPEX = Operating expenditure. In future submissions update planned spend, line miles treated, RSE, etc. with updated projections and actuals. Additional instructions can be found in QR information.
All dollars shown are in nominal, thousands of dollars ($000s).

Table 12: Mitigation Initiative financials

Metric type	WMP Table # / Category	WMP Initiative #	Initiative activity	WMP Identifier	Primary driver targeted	Secondary driver targeted	Year initiated	Estimated RSE in non-HFTD region	Estimated RSE in HFTD Zone 1	Estimated RSE in HFTD Tier 2	Estimated RSE in HFTD Tier 3	If existing: most recent proceeding that has reviewed program	If new: memorandum account	Current compliance status - in / exceeding compliance with regulations	Associated rule(s) - If multiple, separate by semi-colon ";"	If spend not disaggregated by this activity, note activity where relevant spend is tracked in or mark "general operations"	Alternative units in which initiative is reported (if not line miles); still required to report line miles	Comments	Actual CAPEX ($ thousands) 2020	Actual OPEX ($ thousands) 2020	Actual Line miles to be treated 2020	Actual Alternative units (if used 2020	Projected CAPEX ($ thousands) 2021	Projected OPEX ($ thousands) 2021	Projected Line miles to be treated 2021	Projected Alternative units (if used 2021	Projected CAPEX ($ thousands) 2022	Projected OPEX ($ thousands) 2022	Projected Line miles to be treated 2022	Projected Alternative units (if used 2022
Other	Risk Assessment & Mapping	7.3.1.1.	A summarized risk map that shows the overall ignition probability and estimated wildfire consequence along the electric lines and equipment	NA									GSRPBA			Costs included in SA-4			$	265 $	175			$ 315				$ 175		
Other	Risk Assessment & Mapping	7.3.1.2.	Climate-driven risk map and modelling based on various relevant weather scenarios	NA												General operations														
Other	Risk Assessment & Mapping	7.3.1.3.	Ignition probability mapping showing the probability of ignition along the electric lines and equipment	NA									GSRPBA			Costs included in SA-4			$	265 $	175			$ 315				$ 175		
Other	Risk Assessment & Mapping	7.3.1.4.	Initiative mapping and estimation of wildfire and PSPS risk-reduction impact	NA												General operations														
Other	Risk Assessment & Mapping	7.3.1.5.	Match drop simulations showing the potential wildfire consequence of ignitions that occur along the electric lines and equipment	NA									GSRPBA			Costs included in SA-4			$	265 $	175			$ 315				$ 175		
Other	Situational Awareness & Forecasting	7.3.2.1.	Advanced weather monitoring and weather stations	SA-1			2018					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	GSRPBA	Exceeding compliance with regulations		NA	# of weather station installs		$	7,603 $	4,309		593 $	5,273 $	7,360		475 $	5,273 $	7,871	475
Other	Situational Awareness & Forecasting	7.3.2.2.	Continuous monitoring sensors	SA-9	Equipment failure	Other contact with object	2018	925		4,456	2,756	This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	GSRPBA; WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations		NA	# of devices		$	260 $	215		$	9,554 $	252		150 $	19,609		300
Other	Situational Awareness & Forecasting	7.3.2.3.	Fault indicators for detecting faults on electric lines and equipment	NA			NA						NA			General operations	# of installations (395 are in HFRA)	This activity is not considered by SCE to be a WMP activity and only units have been provided as the dollars are not disaggregated in SCE's accounting system at this level. Year initiated noted as "NA" as initiative started pre-GSRP/WMP.				1,566				1,566			1,566
Other	Situational Awareness & Forecasting	7.3.2.4.1	Forecast of a fire risk index, fire potential index, or similar	SA-2												Costs included with SA-3														
Other	Situational Awareness & Forecasting	7.3.2.4.2	Forecast of a fire risk index, fire potential index, or similar	SA-5			2019					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	FRMMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations		NA	# of square miles			$	193			$	320		6,500	$	604		6,500
Other	Situational Awareness & Forecasting	7.3.2.4.3	Forecast of a fire risk index, fire potential index, or similar	SA-7			2020					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations		NA							$	1,467	14,000		$	1,711	14,000	
Other	Situational Awareness & Forecasting	7.3.2.4.4	Forecast of a fire risk index, fire potential index, or similar	SA-8			2019					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations		NA				$	414	14,000		$	891	14,000		$	500	14,000	
Other	Situational Awareness & Forecasting	7.3.2.5.	Personnel monitoring areas of electric lines and equipment in elevated fire risk conditions	NA			NA						NA			General operations		This activity is not considered by SCE to be a WMP activity and dollars/units represent SCE's full service area, not just its HFRA. Year initiated noted as "NA" as initiative started pre-GSRP/WMP.		$	25,218	14,000		$	24,099	14,000		$	24,782	14,000	
Other	Situational Awareness & Forecasting	7.3.2.6.1	Weather forecasting and estimating impacts on electric lines and equipment	SA-3			2018					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	GSRPBA; WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations		NA	# of HPCCs in 2021	Not intending to install new HPCCs in 2022	$	3,310 $	1,134		$	6,552 $	3,728		25	700 $	3,143		
Other	Situational Awareness & Forecasting	7.3.2.6.2	Weather forecasting and estimating impacts on electric lines and equipment	SA-4			2018					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	FRMMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations		NA				$	1,029	14,000		$	1,348	14,000		$	828	14,000	
Grid hardening	Grid Design & System Hardening	7.3.3.1.	Capacitor maintenance and replacement program	NA			NA						NA	In compliance with regulations	GO 95; GO 165	General operations	2020: 112 OH Caps; 10 PM Caps; 23 Removals 2021: 41 OH Caps; 10 PM Caps; 6 Removals 2022: 55 OH Caps; 14 PM Caps; 8 Removals	This activity is not considered by SCE to be a WMP activity and dollars/units represent SCE's full service area, not just its HFRA. Year initiated noted as "NA" as initiative started pre-GSRP/WMP.	$	5,275			145 $	2,444			57 $	3,413		77
Grid hardening	Grid Design & System Hardening	7.3.3.2.	Circuit breaker maintenance and installation to de-energize lines upon detecting a fault	SH-6	Equipment failure	Other contact with object	2018		1,958	3,308		This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	GSRPBA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95; GO 165	NA	# of relays		$	9,786 $	(9)		109 $	12,898			86 $	8,583		113
Grid hardening	Grid Design & System Hardening	7.3.3.3.1	Covered conductor installation	SH-1	Other contact with object	Wire-to-wire contact	2018			3,514	4,192	This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	GSRPBA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95, Rule 31.1	NA	# of miles of covered conductor installs	In 2020, there were 814 WCCP circuit miles and 151 non-WCCP circuit miles installed.	$	546,151			965 $	753,659			1,400 $	883,813		1,600
Grid hardening	Grid Design & System Hardening	7.3.3.3.2	Covered conductor installation	SH-10	Other contact with object	Wire-to-wire contact	2018					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	GSRPBA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95, Rule 31.1	NA	# of remediations	405 tree attachments were remediated in 2020. The majority, 369, of these tree attachments were scoped for future years but were removed as a result of wildfires in the second half of the year.	$	9,654			405 $	22,231			689 $	26,090		788
Grid hardening	Grid Design & System Hardening	7.3.3.4.	Covered conductor maintenance	NA										In compliance with regulations	GO 95	General operations														
Grid hardening	Grid Design & System Hardening	7.3.3.5.	Crossarm maintenance, repair, and replacement	NA										In compliance with regulations	GO 95	General operations														
Grid hardening	Grid Design & System Hardening	7.3.3.6.	Distribution pole replacement and reinforcement, including with composite poles	NA			NA						NA	In compliance with regulations	GO 95	General operations	# of pole remediations	This activity is not considered by SCE to be a WMP activity and dollars/units represent SCE's full service area, not just its HFRA. Year initiated noted as "NA" as initiative started pre-GSRP/WMP.	$	181,874			9,511 $	306,565			15,265 $	219,403		11,611
Grid hardening	Grid Design & System Hardening	7.3.3.7.	Expulsion fuse replacement	SH-4	Equipment failure	Other contact with object	2018		1,363	3,304		This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	GSRPBA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95	NA	Location count		$	8,955 $	3,262		3,025	$	1,154		421	$	1,334		481
Grid hardening	Grid Design & System Hardening	7.3.3.8.1	Grid topology improvements to mitigate or reduce PSPS events	SH-7								This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.		Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95	NA		SCE does not plan to incur incremental costs for this initiative.												
Grid hardening	Grid Design & System Hardening	7.3.3.8.2	Grid topology improvements to mitigate or reduce PSPS events	SH-12			2020					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	MGOIR	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95	NA							$	4,000		9,715	$	7,000		9,715	
Grid hardening	Grid Design & System Hardening	7.3.3.9.	Installation of system automation equipment	SH-5			2018						GSRPBA; FHPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95	NA	# of devices		$	5,867			49									
Grid hardening	Grid Design & System Hardening	7.3.3.10.	Maintenance, repair, and replacement of connectors, including hotline clamps	NA										In compliance with regulations	GO 95	General operations														
Grid hardening	Grid Design & System Hardening	7.3.3.11.	Mitigation of impact on customers and other residents affected during PSPS event	NA												General operations														
Grid hardening	Grid Design & System Hardening	7.3.3.12.	Other corrective action	SH-14	Wire-to-wire contact	Equipment failure	2019		1,867	1,957		This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95	NA		Units to be determined by field assessments being conducted in Q1/Q2 2021.	$	554	9,715		$	5,943 $	2,221	9,715	$	33,590 $	14,027	9,715	
Grid hardening	Grid Design & System Hardening	7.3.3.13.	Pole loading infrastructure hardening and replacement program based on pole loading assessment program	NA			NA						NA	In compliance with regulations	GO 95	General operations	# of pole remediations	This activity is not considered by SCE to be a WMP activity and dollars/units represent SCE's full service area, not just its HFRA. Year initiated noted as "NA" as initiative started pre-GSRP/WMP.	$	97,292			3,805 $	209,875			1,072 $	307,949		15,135
Grid hardening	Grid Design & System Hardening	7.3.3.14.	Transformers maintenance and replacement	NA			NA						NA	In compliance with regulations	GO 95	General operations	Includes overhead, padmount and BURD transformers, and associated inspections.	This activity is not considered by SCE to be a WMP activity and dollars/units represent SCE's full service area, not just its HFRA. Year initiated noted as "NA" as initiative started pre-GSRP/WMP.	$	96,400 $	3,800		31,947 $	96,262 $	5,704		33,408 $	98,187 $	6,045	32,335
Grid hardening	Grid Design & System Hardening	7.3.3.15.	Transmission tower maintenance and replacement	SH-13	Contamination	Equipment failure	2020	0	0	82			WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95	NA	# of structures						$	1,000		53				
Grid hardening	Grid Design & System Hardening	7.3.3.16.	Undergrounding of electric lines and/or equipment	SH-2	Other contact with object	Wire-to-wire contact	2019		447	347		This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95	NA		In 2020, only design work was completed.	$	961			$	26,350		6	$	54,347		11	
Grid hardening	Grid Design & System Hardening	7.3.3.17.1	Updates to grid topology to minimize risk of ignition in HFTDs	SH-15	Equipment failure		2019			137		This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95	NA	# of replacements						$	853		30 $	1,751		60	
Grid hardening	Grid Design & System Hardening	7.3.3.17.2	Updates to grid topology to minimize risk of ignition in HFTDs	SH-11			2019					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95	NA				$	74	9,715	$	4,450 $	820	9,715	$	3,953 $	225	9,715	
Grid hardening	Grid Design & System Hardening	7.3.3.17.3	Updates to grid topology to minimize risk of ignition in HFTDs	SH-8			2019					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95	NA	Cicuit miles within HFRA			$	125		6	$	400		10	$	750		13
Asset inspection	Asset Management & Inspections	7.3.4.1.	Detailed inspections of distribution electric lines and equipment	NA			NA						NA	In compliance with regulations	GO 165	General operations	2020: 56,895 inspections in HFRA; 205,875 inspections in non-HFRA 2021: 27,000 inspections in HFRA; 244,000 inspections in non-HFRA 2022: 27,000 inspections in HFRA; 244,000 inspections in non-HFRA	Year initiated noted as "NA" as initiative started pre-GSRP/WMP.	$	8,960			262,770	$	4,223		271,000	$	4,332		271,000
Asset inspection	Asset Management & Inspections	7.3.4.2.	Detailed inspections of transmission electric lines and equipment	NA			NA							In compliance with regulations	GO 165	General operations	# of inspections	Year initiated noted as "NA" as initiative started pre-GSRP/WMP.	$	3,567			1,313	$	7,604		1,313	$	7,802		1,313
Asset inspection	Asset Management & Inspections	7.3.4.3.	Improvement of inspections	IN-8			2021					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations		NA			$	28,719 $	2,629	9,715	$	17,422 $	6,490	9,715	$	6,600 $	5,241	9,715	
Asset inspection	Asset Management & Inspections	7.3.4.4.	Infrared inspections of distribution electric lines and equipment	IN-3	Equipment failure		2017		156	1,879		This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	GSRPBA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95, Rule 31.2; GO 95, Rule 31.1	NA			$	791	4,416		$	427	4,425		$	427	4,425	
Asset inspection	Asset Management & Inspections	7.3.4.5.	Infrared inspections of transmission electric lines and equipment	IN-4	Equipment failure		2019			174		This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95, Rule 31.2; GO 95, Rule 31.1	NA			$	384	1,005		$	209	1,000		$	216	1,000	
Asset inspection	Asset Management & Inspections	7.3.4.6.	Intrusive pole inspections	NA			NA							In compliance with regulations	GO 95	General operations		Year initiated noted as "NA" as initiative started pre-GSRP/WMP.						$	4,223	14,000		$	4,332	14,000	
Asset inspection	Asset Management & Inspections	7.3.4.7.	LiDAR inspections of distribution electric lines and equipment	NA												General operations														

Metric type	WMP Table # / Category	WMP Initiative #	Initiative activity	WMP Identifier	Primary driver targeted	Secondary driver targeted	Year initiated	Estimated RSE in non-HFTD region	Estimated RSE in HFTD Zone 1	Estimated RSE in HFTD Tier 2	Estimated RSE in HFTD Tier 3	If existing: most recent proceeding that has reviewed program	If new: memorandum account	Current compliance status - In / exceeding compliance with regulations	Associated rule(s) - If multiple, separate by semi-colon - ";"	If spend not disaggregated by this activity, note activity where relevant spend is tracked in or mark "general operations"	Alternative units in which initiative is reported (if not line miles); still required to report line miles	Comments	2020	2020	2020	2020	2021	2021	2021	2021	2022	2022	2022	2022
Asset inspection	Asset Management & Inspections	7.3.4.8.	LiDAR inspections of transmission electric lines and equipment	NA												General operations													
Asset inspection	Asset Management & Inspections	7.3.4.9.1	Other discretionary inspection of distribution electric lines and equipment, beyond inspections mandated by rules and regulations	IN-1.1	Equipment failure		2018			2,636	2,777	This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	FRMMA; GSRPBA; WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95, Rule 31.2; GO 95, Rule 31.1; GO 165	NA	2020: # of Ground Inspections: 199,050; # of Aerial Inspections: 168,017; # of Remediations: 26,915 2021: # of Ground Inspections: 198,000; # of Aerial Inspections: 198,000; # of Remediations: 24,584 2022: # of Ground Inspections: 171,000; # of Aerial Inspections: 198,448; # of Remediations: 14,354		$	85,219	$	105,553		393,982	$	147,938	$	104,185		420,584	$	88,698	$	91,606		383,822
Asset inspection	Asset Management & Inspections	7.3.4.9.2	Other discretionary inspection of distribution electric lines and equipment, beyond inspections mandated by rules and regulations	IN-5			2019					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	FRMMA; WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95 Rule 31.2; GO 165	NA				$	403			$	315			$					102
Asset inspection	Asset Management & Inspections	7.3.4.10.	Other discretionary inspection of transmission electric lines and	IN-1.2	Equipment failure		2018			540	764	This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	FRMMA; GSRPBA; WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95, Rule 31.2; GO 95, Rule 31.1; GO 165	NA	2020: # of Ground Inspections: 35,562; # of Aerial Inspections: 31,381; # of Remediations: 6,486 2021: # of Ground Inspections: 22,800; # of Aerial Inspections: 22,800; # of Remediations: 5,902 2022: # of Ground Inspections: 14,502; # of Aerial Inspections: 22,834; # of Remediations: 3,605		$	35,934	$	51,821		73,429	$	50,758	$	25,181		51,502	$	18,098	$	23,825		41,341
Asset inspection	Asset Management & Inspections	7.3.4.11.	Patrol inspections of distribution electric lines and equipment	NA			NA									General operations		Year initiated noted as "NA" as initiative started pre-GSRP/WMP.		$	25,218		9,715			$	24,099		9,715			$	24,782		9,715	
Asset inspection	Asset Management & Inspections	7.3.4.12.	Patrol inspections of transmission electric lines and equipment	NA												General operations															
Asset inspection	Asset Management & Inspections	7.3.4.13.	Pole loading assessment program to determine safety factor	NA			NA							In compliance with regulations	GO 95	General operations	# of assessments	Year initiated noted as "NA" as initiative started pre-GSRP/WMP.		$	14,477				121,268	$	3,210				14,400			
Asset inspection	Asset Management & Inspections	7.3.4.14.	Quality assurance / quality control of inspections	NA												General operations															
Asset inspection	Asset Management & Inspections	7.3.4.15.	Substation inspections	NA			NA						NA	In compliance with regulations	GO 174	General operations	# of inspections	This activity is not considered by SCE to be a WMP activity and dollars/units represent SCE's full service area, not just its HFRA. Year initiated noted as "NA" as initiative started pre-GSRP/WMP.		$	2,672				4,209	$	2,855				4,426	$	2,986				5,644
Vegetation management project	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.1.	Additional efforts to manage community and environmental impacts	NA												General operations															
Vegetation inspection	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.2.	Detailed inspections of vegetation around distribution electric lines and equipment	NA			NA						NA	In compliance with regulations	GO 95; GO 174	General operations	# of ground inspection and aerial inspections	This activity is not considered by SCE to be a WMP activity and dollars/units represent SCE's full service area, not just its HFRA. Year initiated noted as "NA" as initiative started pre-GSRP/WMP.		$	25,756				1,760,000	$	15,020				1,149,000	$	15,471				1,149,000
Vegetation inspection	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.3.	Detailed inspections of vegetation around transmission electric lines and equipment	NA			NA						NA	In compliance with regulations	GO 95; GO 174	General operations	# of inspections	This activity is not considered by SCE to be a WMP activity and dollars/units represent SCE's full service area, not just its HFRA. Year initiated noted as "NA" as initiative started pre-GSRP/WMP.		$	1,774				321,000	$	2,753				234,000	$	2,835				234,000
Vegetation management project	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.4.	Emergency response vegetation management due to red flag warning or other urgent conditions	NA												General operations															
Vegetation management project	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.5.1	Fuel management and reduction of "slash" from vegetation management activities	VM-2	Equipment failure		2019			1,426	1,881	This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	PRC 4292	NA	# of poles brushed			$	7,459				234,000	$	8,272				229,190	$	6,787				229,190
Vegetation management project	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.5.2	Fuel management and reduction of "slash" from vegetation management activities	VM-3			2019					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	FHPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	PRC 4291; PRC 4293	NA								61	$	900				46	$	1,089				49
Vegetation inspection	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.6.	Improvement of inspections	NA												General operations															
Vegetation inspection	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.7.	LiDAR inspections of vegetation around distribution electric lines and equipment	NA												General operations															
Vegetation inspection	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.8.	LiDAR inspections of vegetation around transmission electric lines and equipment	NA			2019					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	FAC-003-4	NA				$	4,092		1,227		$	1,485		1,227		$	1,502		1,227	
Vegetation inspection	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.9.	Other discretionary inspections of vegetation around distribution electric lines and equipment	NA												General operations															
Vegetation inspection	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.10.	Other discretionary inspections of vegetation around transmission electric lines and equipment	NA												General operations															
Vegetation inspection	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.11.	Patrol inspections of vegetation around distribution electric lines and equipment	NA	Contact with vegetation		2018 GRC						FHPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95; PRC 4293; FAC-003-4	NA		Year initiated noted as "NA" as initiative started pre-GSRP/WMP.							$	10,009			$	10,309			
Vegetation inspection	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.12.	Patrol inspections of vegetation around transmission electric lines and equipment	NA	Contact with vegetation		2018 GRC						FHPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95; PRC 4293; FAC-003-4	NA		Year initiated noted as "NA" as initiative started pre-GSRP/WMP.							$	4,306			$	4,435			
Vegetation inspection	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.13.	Quality assurance / quality control of vegetation inspections	NA			2019					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95; PRC 4293; FAC-003-4	NA				$	3,966		14,000		$	5,547		14,000		$	6,159		14,000	
Vegetation management project	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.14.	Recruiting and training of vegetation management personnel	NA												General operations															
Vegetation management project	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.15.	Remediation of at-risk species	NA												General operations															
Vegetation management project	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.16.1	Removal and remediation of trees with strike potential to electric lines and equipment	VM-1	Contact with vegetation		2018			1,405	1,602	This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	GSRPBA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95 Rule 35; PRC 4293	NA	# of tree assessments			$	46,685		99,523		$	80,722		200,000		$	89,162		200,000	
Vegetation management project	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.16.2	Removal and remediation of trees with strike potential to electric lines and equipment	VM-4	Contact with vegetation		NA			2,284	2,413	This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	CEMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95; PRC 4293; FAC-003-4	NA		Year initiated noted as "NA" as initiative started pre-GSRP/WMP.		$	37,604				$	43,445			$	44,748			
Vegetation inspection	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.17.	Substation inspection	NA												General operations															
Vegetation management project	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.18.	Substation vegetation management	NA												General operations															
Vegetation management project	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.19.	Vegetation inventory system	VM-6			2021					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	WMPMA; GSRPBA	Exceeding compliance with regulations		NA			$	16,128	$	1,056	14,000		$	9,940	$	4,152	14,000	$	4,475	$	4,691	14,000	
Vegetation management project	Vegetation Management & Inspections	7.3.5.20	Vegetation management to achieve clearances around electric lines and equipment	NA	Contact with vegetation		NA			4,042	4,512	This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	FHPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 95; PRC 4293; FAC-003-4	NA		Year initiated noted as "NA" as initiative started pre-GSRP/WMP.		$	233,585		14,000		$	182,747		14,000		$	187,967		14,000	
Other	Grid Operations & Operating Protocols	7.3.6.1.	Automatic recloser operations	NA												General operations															
Other	Grid Operations & Operating Protocols	7.3.6.2.	Crew-accompanying ignition prevention and suppression resources and services	NA												General operations															
Other	Grid Operations & Operating Protocols	7.3.6.3.	Personnel work procedures and training in conditions of elevated fire risk	NA												General operations															
Other	Grid Operations & Operating Protocols	7.3.6.4.	Protocols for PSPS re-energization	NA												General operations															
Other	Grid Operations & Operating Protocols	7.3.6.5.	PSPS events and mitigation of PSPS impacts	PSPS-2			2018			108	188	This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	FRMMA; GSRPBA; WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	SB 167	NA		This is the RSE for Community Resource Centers/Community Crew Vehicles. An RSE was calculated for Critical Care Backup Battery which is 12 and 22 for Tier 2 and Tier 3 respectively	$	6,843	$	23,977	14,000		$	7,247	$	48,526	14,000	$	1,250	$	48,378	14,000	
Other	Grid Operations & Operating Protocols	7.3.6.6.	Stationed and on-call ignition prevention and suppression resources and services	NA												General operations															
Other	Data Governance	7.3.7.1.	Centralized repository for data	DG-1			2021					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	GSRPBA	Exceeding compliance with regulations		NA			$	1,796		14,000		$	15,709	$	1,052	14,000	$	13,698	$	2,252	14,000	
Other	Data Governance	7.3.7.2.	Collaborative research on utility ignition and/or wildfire	NA												General operations															
Other	Data Governance	7.3.7.3.	Documentation and disclosure of wildfire-related data and algorithms	NA												General operations															
Other	Data Governance	7.3.7.4.	Tracking and analysis of near miss data	NA												General operations															
Other	Resource Allocation Methodology	7.3.8.1.	Allocation methodology development and application	NA			2018					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	FRMMA; WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations		NA			$	45,202		14,000		$	7,610		14,000		$	6,086		14,000	
Other	Resource Allocation Methodology	7.3.8.2.	Risk reduction scenario development and analysis	NA												General operations															
Other	Resource Allocation Methodology	7.3.8.3.	Risk spend efficiency analysis	NA												General operations															
Other	Emergency Planning & Preparedness	7.3.9.1.	Adequate and trained workforce for service restoration	DEP-2			2018					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	GO 166	NA			$	616		14,000		$	2,545		14,000		$	1,957		14,000	
Other	Emergency Planning & Preparedness	7.3.9.2.	Community outreach, public awareness, and communications efforts	NA												General operations															
Other	Emergency Planning & Preparedness	7.3.9.3	Customer support in emergencies	NA												General operations															
Other	Emergency Planning & Preparedness	7.3.9.4.	Disaster and emergency preparedness plan	NA												General operations															
Other	Emergency Planning & Preparedness	7.3.9.5.	Preparedness and planning for service restoration	NA			2018					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	GSRPBA	Exceeding compliance with regulations		NA			$	5,328		14,000	$	200	$	11,568	14,000	$	600	$	11,971	14,000	
Other	Emergency Planning & Preparedness	7.3.9.6.	Protocols in place to learn from wildfire events	NA												General operations															
Other	Stakeholder Cooperation & Community Engagement	7.3.10.1.1	Community engagement	DEP-1.2			2018					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	GSRPBA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	R-1812005	NA	# of meetings		$	142		9	$	110		18	$	110		18
Other	Stakeholder Cooperation & Community Engagement	7.3.10.1.3	Community engagement	DEP-1.3			2018					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	FRMMA; GSRPBA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	R-1812005	NA			$	1,655		14,000		$	3,821		14,000		$	3,904		14,000	
Other	Stakeholder Cooperation & Community Engagement	7.3.10.1.4	Community engagement	DEP-4			2018					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	FRMMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations		NA	# of surveys					5	$	1,434		4	$	1,465		3
Other	Stakeholder Cooperation & Community Engagement	7.3.10.2	Cooperation and best practice sharing with agencies outside CA	NA												General operations															
Other	Stakeholder Cooperation & Community Engagement	7.3.10.3	Cooperation with suppression agencies	DEP-5			2020			1,962	3,306	This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations	PRC 4292; PRC 4293	NA	# of aerial suppression resources			$	2,158		1	$	18,000		5	$	18,000		5

Metric type	WMP Table # / Category	WMP Initiative #	Initiative activity	WMP Identifier	Primary driver targeted	Secondary driver targeted	Year initiated	Estimated RSE in non-HFTD region	Estimated RSE in HFTD Zone 1	Estimated RSE in HFTD Tier 2	Estimated RSE in HFTD Tier 3	If existing: most recent proceeding that has reviewed program	If new: memorandum account	Current compliance status - In / exceeding compliance with regulations	Associated rule(s) - if multiple, separate by semi-colon - ";"	If spend not disaggregated by this activity, note activity where relevant spend is tracked in or mark "general operations"	Alternative units in which initiative is reported (if not line miles); still required to report line miles	Comments	2020	2020	2020	2020	2021	2021	2021	2021	2022	2022	2022	2022
Other	Stakeholder Cooperation & Community Engagement	7.3.10.4	Forest service and fuel reduction cooperation and joint roadmap	NA												General operations														
Other		7.1.D	Alternative Technologies	NA			2018					This activity was not included in SCE's 2018 GRC, but is included in its pending 2021 GRC.	GSRPBA; WMPMA	Exceeding compliance with regulations		NA		SCE has included costs related to alternative technology projects described in Section 7.1.D.	$ 1,855	$ 159		14,000	$ 8,357			14,000	$ 1,546			14,000